

La Crosse Area Planning Committee

Metropolitan Planning Organization Serving the La Crosse/La Crescent Urbanized Area

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2021

FROM: PETER FLETCHER, DIRECTOR

To: Technical Advisory Committee

SUBJECT: NOVEMBER 10, 2021 TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

The next meeting of the La Crosse Area Planning Committee TAC will be on <u>Wednesday</u>, <u>November 10</u>, <u>2021 at 2:30 p.m. in Room 1700 Administrative Center 212</u>, 6th St. N, La Crosse, WI. The meeting will be held in-person with the option to attend virtually via TEAMS and/or teleconference call – meeting access/monitoring instructions detailed below.

AGENDA

- 1. Approval of Minutes of the September 8, 2021 Meeting.
- 2. Recommendation to approve amending the 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program.
- 3. Recommendation to approve the 2022-2025 Transportation Improvement Program.
- 4. STP-Urban program project ranking.

The applications are posted under the agenda packet on the LAPC website.

- 5. Other updates and information items.
- 6. January 2022 agenda items:
 - 2022-2025 TIP Amendment
- 7. Other business; Adjourn; Next meeting to be announced.

All attendees at meeting are strongly encouraged to wear a face mask.

Public Access: Any person may access the meeting utilizing the following options.

MEETING ACCESS/MONITORING INSTRUCTIONS:

Option 1: Use the link below to join the TEAMS meeting on your desktop/laptop/phone to stream audio, video or both. If you do not have TEAMS on your desktop/laptop/phone, after you activate the link "Click here to join the meeting" you will have to follow several prompts to join the meeting.

Click here to join the meeting

Option 2: Join by phone (audio only): 1-262-683-8845, and enter 831295697# at the prompt

+1 262-683-8845,,831295697# United States, Kenosha

Phone Conference ID: 831 295 697#

If you need assistance participating in this meeting, please contact Jackie Eastwood at 608.785.6141 or at jeastwood@lacrossecounty.org at least one week in advance of the meeting.

Technical Advisory Committee

Voting Members: Chris Dahl, Bob Fisher, Matt Gallager, Bob Gollnik, Charlie Handy, Jarrod Holter, Jim Krueger, Becky Lakowske, Joe Langeberg, Christina Peterson, Anna Pierce, Loren Schwier, Andrea Trane, Ian Turner, Voni Vegar, Bill Waller, Kurt Wayne Non-voting Members: Karl Buck, Evan Gross, James Kuehn, Bobbi Retzlaff, Francis Schelfhout

La Crosse Area Planning Committee

Metropolitan Planning Organization Serving the La Crosse/La Crescent Urbanized Area

Peter Fletcher, Director Jackie Eastwood, Transportation Planner
La Crosse County Administrative Center
212 6th St N Room 2300 La Crosse, WI 54601-2300
PH: 608.785.5977 Website: www.lacrossecounty.org/mpo

Minutes of Technical Advisory Committee Meeting, September 8, 2021

Minutes of the meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee held on Wednesday, September 8, 2021 at 2:30 p.m. by Microsoft Teams.

Members on Call: Voting: Jarrod Holter, Charlie Handy, Joe Langeberg, Anna Pierce, Jim Krueger, Kurt Wayne. Non-voting: Francis Schelfhout, WisDOT; Mary Forlenza, FHWA. **Excused:** Bill Waller. **Guests and Staff:** Peter Fletcher, Jackie Eastwood.

Peter Fletcher called the meeting at 2:30 p.m.

1) Approval of the minutes of the July 14, 2021 TAC meeting:

Jarrod Holter motioned to approve the minutes of the July 14, 2021 meeting; Charlie Handy seconded. All were in favor.

2) Recommendation to approve amending the 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program:

Jarrod Holter motioned to recommend to the Policy Board to approve amending the 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Program; Kurt Wayne seconded. All were in favor.

3) Review 2022 Draft Work Program:

Peter Fletcher stated that staff had a meeting with our federal and state agencies last week regarding the work elements and activities. He then proceeded to provide an overview of the work program. The final 2022 work program will be approved by the policy board in November.

4) STP-U program update:

...keeping you moving in the Coulee Re

Peter Fletcher stated that draft STP-U applications are due to WisDOT by August 13 and revised applications by September 17. Final applications are due October 15. Final applications must also be submitted to the LAPC. The TAC will rank the projects at its November 10 meeting. We have received six applications to date—one from La Crosse County, two from Onalaska, and three from West Salem. Over \$4M is available.

5) Other updates and information items:

Peter Fletcher stated that the Needs Assessment for the transit development plan is being completed and will be posted to our website when received.

6) November 2021 agenda items:

- 2021-2024 TIP amendment
- 2022-2025 TIP
- Rank STP-U project applications

7) Other Business; Adjourn; Next meeting to be announced:

Kurt Wayne motioned to adjourn at 2:53 p.m.; Jarrod Holter seconded. All were in favor.

		lovember 17	rovement Program Project List				20	21 (x\$1	200)			20)22 (x\$10	200)			20	23 (x\$10	200)			202	4 (x\$1000	2)		
Project	State ID	Sponsoring	Project Description	Project Type	Exp.	Fed	WI	MN	Local /	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local /	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local /	Total	Fed	WI	MN L	Local /	Total	Source of
Number 243-21-001	Number	Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Type PE	reu	VVI	IVIIV	Other	TOTAL	reu	VVI	IVIIV	Other	Total	reu	WI	IVIIV	Other	Total	reu	WI	IVIN	Other	IOLAI	Funds
243-22-001		State of Wisconsin /	Transportation Safety Programs (HSIP) Project Group -	Safety	ROW																					HSIP
243-23-001 243-24-001		Minnesota	See Grouped Project Listing on lacrossecounty.org/mpo		Const Total																1					-
243-21-002		State of			PE																					1
243-22-002 243-23-002		Wisconsin /	Rail-Highway Crossing Safety Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on lacrossecounty.org/mpo	Rail	ROW Const																					HSIP
243-24-002		Minnesota	, , , , , ,		Total																					1
243-21-003 243-22-003		State of	Rail-Highway Crossing Safety (OCR) Project Group - See		PE ROW																					STBG
243-23-003		Wisconsin / Minnesota	Grouped Project Listing on lacrossecounty.org/mpo	Rail	Const																					
243-24-003 243-21-004					Total PE																					+
243-22-004		State of Wisconsin /	High-Speed Corridor Grade Crossing Improvements Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on	Rail	ROW																					STBG
243-23-004 243-24-004		Minnesota	lacrossecounty.org/mpo		Const Total																					-
243-21-005		State of			PE																					
243-22-005 243-23-005		Wisconsin /	SW Region/District 6 Bridge Maintenance Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on lacrossecounty.org/mpo	Br Rehab	ROW Const																1					NHPP
243-24-005		Minnesota	Coo Croaped 1 Toject Elexing on Ider Coocces anyteing		Total																					-
243-21-006 243-22-006		State of	SW Region/District 6 Pavement Rehabilitation/Maintenance		PE ROW																1					NHPP
243-23-006		Wisconsin / Minnesota	Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on lacrossecounty.org/mpo	Sys Pres	Const																					NHPP
243-24-006			acrossociality.org/mpo		Total PE						1															_
243-21-007 243-22-007		State of	SW Region/District 6 Pavement Rehabilitation/Maintenance (Interstate) Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on	Sys Pres	ROW																					NHPP
243-23-007 243-24-007		Wisconsin / Minnesota	lacrossecounty.org/mpo	Jys F165	Other																					1
243-21-008					Total PE																					
243-22-008 243-23-008		State of Wisconsin /	SW Region/District 6 Various Pavement Marking Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on	Sys Pres	ROW																					STBG
243-23-008		Minnesota	lacrossecounty.org/mpo		Other Total	800.0 800.0	200.0			1,000.0	0															-
243-21-009			SW Region/District 6 Local Transportation Safety Projects		PE																					1
243-22-009 243-23-009		Local Projects	(HSIP) Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on	Safety	ROW Const																					STBG
243-24-009			lacrossecounty.org/mpo		Total																					
243-21-010 243-22-010		Various	SW Region/District 6 Transportation Alternatives Program		PE ROW	127.0			95.7	222.7	138.0			34.5	172.5	138.0			34.5	172.5	5	Desi	gn Continued	t		TAP
243-23-010		Municipalities	Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on lacrossecounty.org/mpo	Other	Const						1,075.2			268.8	1,344.0] ""
243-24-010					Total Non Infr.	127.0			95.7	222.7	1,213.2			303.3	1,516.5	138.0			34.5	172.5	5					
243-22-011		State of Wisconsin /	SW Region/District 6 Emergency Repair Project Group -	ER	ROW																					ER
243-23-011 243-24-011		Minnesota	See Grouped Project Listing on lacrossecounty.org/mpo		Infr. Total																					-
			IH 90, Black River Bridges, Round Lake Bridges, Bainbridge		PE		De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued							
243-19-020	1070-04-34, 64	State of Wisconsin	Ped Bridge, Concrete Overlays B-32-34, 35, 46, 47 and Bridge Replacement B-32-73. Design Obligated in 2019,	Sys Pres / Br Repl	ROW Const											2,947.9	327.5			3,275.4	1	Constru	ction Continu	ued		NHPP
	04	WISCONSIN	Construction Obligated in 2023, Construction in 2024	кері	T-4-1											0.047.0	207.5			0.075						
			IH 90, CTH BW, CTH B and STH 157 EB Bridges (B-32-51,		Total PE		Des	sign Contin	ued							2,947.9	327.5			3,275.4	•					-
243-19-021	1070-04-33, 63	State of Wisconsin	52, 55) Thin Polymer Overlays. Design Obligated in 2019,	Sys Pres	ROW		004.0			004.0		0		diament.												SF
	00	Wisconsin	Construction in 2021, Construction in 2022		Const Total		231.8 231.8			231.8 231.8	3	Consi	truction Cor	itinuea												-
	1074-00-31,	State of	IH 90 (West Salem to Sparta) Concrete Bridge Overlays of		PE ROW	109.6	12.2			121.8	3	De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			Desi	gn Continued	t		NHPP
243-20-027	61	Wisconsin	CTH C (B-32-57), Fish Creek (B-32-63), STH 27 (B-41-123). Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2027	Sys Pres	Const																					- Niller
			Design Anticipated in 2021, Constitution Anticipated in 2027		Total PE	109.6 1,524.8	12.2 169.4			121.8 1,694.2	3	Do	sign Contin	und			Do	nian Contin	unad		1	Dooi	gn Continued	4		
243-18-017	1071-07-	State of	IH-90, STH 16 to CTH C, Pavement & Bridge Replacements (B-32-23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28), Design	Pav Rep / Br	ROW	1,524.6	109.4			1,094.2		De	sign Contin	ueu			De	sign Contin	lueu			Desi	gri Continued	1		NHPP
240 10 017	06,76,96	Wisconsin	Anticipated 2021, Construction Anticipated 2030	Rep	Const	4.504.0	400.4			4.004.0																_
					Total PE	1,524.8	169.4 De:	sign Contin	ued	1,694.2																+
243-19-015	1074-00-02, 72	State of Wisconsin	IH-90, CTH C to East County Line, Resurface. Design Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2021	Sys Pres	ROW																					SF
	12	WISCONSIN	Obligated III 2019, Construction Obligated III 2021		Const Total		5,901.2 5,901.2		4,015.0 4,015.0	9,916.2 9,916.2	2	Const	truction Cor	ntinued												-
			IH 90, STH 16 Interchange Area. (S Kinney Coulee Rd to		PE	1,290.0	143.3			1,433.3	3	De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			Desi	gn Continued	t		I
243-13-011	1071-08-04, 74	State of Wisconsin	CTH OS) interchange improvements. Bridge replacments B- 32-0053, -0054. Design anticipated in 2021. Construction	Re Cond / Br Repl	ROW Const																					NHPP
			anticipated in 2030		Total	1,290.0	143.3			1,433.3	3															1
040 47 045	1070-04-05,	State of	IH 90, STH 157 Interchange resurface. Design obligation	0: 5	PE ROW																		+			NHPP
243-17-012	25, 75	Wisconsin	2017, Construction obligation in 2020	Sys Pres	Const		Const	ruction Cor	ntinued																	1
					Total PE					-	788.4	87.6			876.0		Do	sign Contin	ued		1	Deci	gn Continued	1		+
243-18-018	1070-04-	State of	IH-90, STH 157 Interchange Reconstruction, Design	Re Const	ROW						700.4	07.0			0.0.0		De	agri Condh	nu5U			Desi	gri Coritiriue0			NHPP
0 .0 010	03,73	Wisconsin	Anticipated 2022, Construction Anticipated 2030	John	Const Total						788.4	87.6			876.0						1					4
					PE		Des	sign Contin	ued		788.4		sign Contin	ued	8/6.0		De	sign Contin	ued			Desi	gn Continued	Ŀ		
243-06-012	1630-08-00	State of	USH 53, USH 14/61 - IH 90, Reconstruction, (TIP # 243-06- 013 for all ROW, Utilities, Construction)	Major(E)	ROW										-											NHPP
	1	Wisconsin	013 for all NOVV, Guilles, Construction)		Const Total	 					1								ļ		1	-				MAJOR

2021 - 20	24 Transpo	ortation Imp	rovement Program Project List																						
Draft Ame	endment, N	November 1	7, 2021				2	021 (x\$1	000)			2022	(x\$10	000)			2	023 (x\$1000)			2	024 (x\$10	00)		
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	wı	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	wı	MN Loca		Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
rumbor	1630-08-21,	rigolicy			PE		De	esign Conti	_			Design	Contin				D	esign Continued			Dr	esign Continu			
243-06-013	23, 25, 27, 40, 44, 46, 48, 70,	State of	USH 53, USH 14/61 - IH 90, Reconstruction, (ROW,	Major(E)	RW/UTL Const																				NHPP MAJOR
243-06-013	71, 75, 77, 80, 81, 84, 86, 88,	Wisconsin	Utilities, Construction Anticipated after 2030)	iviajoi(E)	CONO																				- IIII IOON
	89				Total																				
	1630-03-01,	State of	USH 53, Black River Bridge B-32-0079, Bridge		PE ROW		De	esign Conti	nued																NHPP
243-17-013	61	Wisconsin	Rehabilitation. Design obligation in 2017, Construction obligation in 2021	Sys Pres	Const	1,341.2	278.4			1,619.6		Construct	tion Con	ntinued] '''''
			-		Total PE	1,341.2 240.0	278.4 120.0)		1,619.6 360.0		Design	n Continu	ued			D	esign Continued			D:	esign Continu	ied		+
243-20-016	5250-06-02,	State of	USH 53, City of La Crosse, Third Street (Cass Street to 2nd Street), Pavement Replacement, Design Obligated in 2021,	Sys Pres	ROW																				NHPP
	72, 74	Wisconsin	Construction Anticipated in 2026-2027	•	Const Total	240.0	120.0)		360.0											-				-
	5050 00 00	04-44	USH 53, City of La Crosse, Fourth Street (Cass Street to		PE	240.0	120.0)		360.0		Design	Contin	ued			D	esign Continued			De	esign Continu	ıed		
243-20-028	5250-06-03, 73, 75	State of Wisconsin	2nd Street), Pavement Replacement, Design Obligated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2027/2028	Sys Pres	ROW Const																+		-		NHPP
			2021, Oblishadion Anticipated in 2021/2020		Total	240.0	120.0			360.0		Davis	. 0				_	anima Constituted							1
243-20-031	1640-01-05,	State of	USH 14, La Crosse - Westby (Marion Road to Garner Place), Mill & Overlay, Design obligated 2020, Construction	Sys Pres	PE ROW		De	esign Conti	nuea			Design	Contin	uea			D	esign Continued			De	esign Continu	lea		NHPP
240 20 001	75	Wisconsin	scheduled for 2024-2025	Oys i ics	Const Total															488.0 488.0	122.0			610.0 610.0	<u>/</u>
			USH 14, Brickyard Lane – CTH M, Mill & Overlay, Design		PE															400.0	122.0	,		610.0	
243-18-015	1640-03-31, 61	State of Wisconsin	obligated 2017, Construction obligated in 2021, with	Sys Pres	ROW Const	1.618.6	404.7	,		2.023.3		Construct	tion Con	tiound											NHPP
	* .		construction in 2021-2022.		Total	1,618.6	404.7	7		2,023.3		Construct	tion con	itiilided											
	1641-02-02,	0:	USH 14, City of La Crosse, South Avenue; Green Bay St. to Ward Ave., Reconstruct the roadway and improve the		PE ROW		951.5	esign Conti	nued	951.5			Continu Continu												HSIP
243-13-015	22, 42, 53, 54, 72, 82, 3700-	State of Wisconsin	intersections, including traffic signal rehab at Green Bay St. Design obligated 2013, ROW in 2019-2021, Construction in	Re Const	Const		301.0	,		301.0	8,605.1	,840.5	CONTINU	495.0	10,940.6	6	Cons	struction Continued							NHPP
	10-79		2022		Total		951.5	5		951.5	8,605.1	,840.5		495.0	10,940.6	5									ITS
	5200-03-33,	State of	USH 14, Cameron Ave & Cass St Structures B-32-202 & -		PE ROW		De	esign Conti	nued			Design	Contin	ued			D	esign Continued			De	esign Continu	ied		NHPP
243-19-022	63	Wisconsin	300, Paint and Repair. Design Obligated in 2019, Construction Anticipated 2024-2025	Sys Pres	Const															2,016.0	504.0)		2,520.0)
			STH 35, Genoa – La Crosse (Village of Stoddard North Limit		Total PE		D	esign Conti	nuod											2,016.0	504.0)		2,520.0)
243-18-024	5160-06-00,	State of	to North Vernon County Line, Mill & Overlay, Design	Sys Pres	ROW				liueu																NHPP
210 10 021	70	Wisconsin	Obligated in 2018, Construction Obligated for 2021 with Construction in 2022	0,01.00	Const Total	1,056.4 1,056.4	264.1 264.1			1,320.5 1.320.5		Construct	tion Con	ntinued											4
			STH 35, La Crosse County Line to Garner Place,		PE	1,056.4		esign Conti	nued	1,320.5															1
	5163-07-02,	State of	Reconstruct STH 35 / USH 14/61 Intersection. Design		ROW Const	6,951.5	1.756.3	OW Contin	nued 169.3	8,877.1		Construct	tion Con	ntinuad						4,856.1	1,204.8		9.2	6,070.1	NHPP
243-14-026	20, 24, 42, 52, 53, 72, 77	Wisconsin	obligated in 2014. North half (-77) -ROW 2020, Construction 2021-2022. South half (-72) -ROW 2020, Construction 2024-	Re Const	CONST	0,331.3	1,700.0	,	100.0	0,077.1		CONSTRUCT	uon oon	itiliaca						4,000.1	1,204.0		5.2	0,070.1	1
			2025 (Advanceable to 2022)		Total	6,951.5	1,756.3	3	169.3	8,877.1										4,856.1	1,204.8	3	9.2	6,070.1	i
	7575-07-03,	State of	STH 16, (La Crosse Street, City of La Crosse), Oakland St.		PE ROW			esign Conti					Continu Continu												HSIP
243-11-024	23, 73, 83	Wisconsin	to Losey Blvd., Patch and overlay, Design obligated 2011. Construction in 2022.	Sys Pres	Const			OVV CONTIN	lucu		1,984.5	250.3	CONTINU	3,533.8		6									NHPP
					Total PE		De	esign Conti	nued		1,984.5	.000.0		3,533.8	5,768.6 2,000.0)	D	esign Continued				esign Continu	ied		+
			STH 16, Gillette St. to STH 157. Bridge and Approach Reconstruction, Preliminary Design Obligated in 2013, Final		ROW		, D	csigii conti	lided		· ·	,000.0			2,000.0			esign continued				Jaigir Contine	cu	-	NHPP
243-11-028	7575-01-01, 05, 80	State of Wisconsin	Design (Environmental Document to PS&E for bridges B-32-	BR Repl	Const																				-
			0111 and B-32-0115), Final Design to begin in 2022. (Construction obligated in 2025, Construction in 2026)																						
			071140 14 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1		Total PE		De	esign Conti	nued			,000.0 Design	n Continu	ued	2,000.0)	D	esign Continued			D _i	esign Continu	ued		+
243-19-017	7575-01-35, 65	State of Wisconsin	STH 16, Medary Overpass Structures B-32-111 & 115, Concrete Overlay, Paint, Repair. Design Obligated in 2019,	Sys Pres	ROW															0.040.0	500 (NHPP
	03	WISCOISIII	Construction Obligated in 2024 with Construction in 2025		Const Total															2,240.0 2,240.0			-	2,800.0 2,800.0	
	7575 00 04	State of	STH 16, Braund Street to CTH OS, Monotubes and Left		PE																				HSIP
243-18-019	7575-00-01, 71	Wisconsin	Turn Lane Improvements, Design Obligated in 2018, Construction LET in 2020 with actual construction in 2021	Sys Pres	ROW Const		Cons	struction Co	ntinued			Construct	tion Con	ntinued									-		HOIP
			STH 16, La Crosse – Sparta (0.27 Miles East of La Crosse		Total PE		D	esign Conti	nuad																1
243-18-025	7570-05-34,	State of	River to Big Creek). Mill & Overlay, Design Obligated in	Sys Pres	ROW		Di	esign Conti	nueu																STBG
2.0.10.020	64	Wisconsin	2018, Construction Obligated for 2021 with Construction in 2022	-,	Const Total	4,292.3 4,292.3	1,038.5	5		5,330.8 5,330.8		Construct	tion Con	ntinued											4
	7570 05 00	0: : :	STH 16 (La Crosse to Sparta), CTH M Intersection		PE	4,292.3		esign Conti	nued	3,330.8		Design	Contin	ued			D	esign Continued			Df	esign Continu	led		
243-20-029	7570-05-00, 70	State of Wisconsin	(Monotubes and Left-Turn Lanes), Design Obligated in 2020, Construction Anticipated in 2024	Sys Pres	ROW Const															590.4		3	$=\pm$	656.7	HSIP
			·		Total PE															590.4	66.3	3		656.7	-
243-20-014	3700-10-83	State of Wisconsin	STH 16, La Crosse – Sparta (STH 16/IH 90 Interchange EB/WB Ramps). Monotube Installation. Construction in	Sys Pres	ROW		0		-4:			0	O	4i											ITS
		vv iacUIISIII	2020.		Const Total			struction Co				Construct													1
040.40.00:	7575-01-06,	State of	STH 16, La Crosse – Sparta (Losey Blvd to South Kinney Coulee Rd). Repair, Mill and Overlay, Design Obligated in	05	PE ROW		De	esign Conti	nued			Design 48.4	Continu	ued	48.4			esign Continued OW Continued		+ -		esign Continu			NHPP
243-19-034	26, 76	Wisconsin	2020 and Construction Obligated in 2024 with Construction in 2025	Sys Pres	Const															3,811.4	952.8	3		4,764.2	4
	1				Total PE				<u> </u>			48.4			48.4	<u> </u>	\perp		<u> </u>	3,811.4	952.8	5	+	4,764.2	<u> </u>
243-20-019	3700-10-87	State of Wisconsin	STH 16, City of La Crosse (STH 16 & Gillette St), Traffic Signals & Monotubes, Construction in 2020/2021	Sys Pres	ROW Const		Cons	struction Co	ntinued			Construct	tion Con	ntinued			+-		-	+	+-				ITS
			<u> </u>		Total		COIL					2030000									1		=		1
243-20-020	3700-10-88	State of	STH 16, City of La Crosse (Various Highways/Intersections),	Sys Pres	PE ROW																				ITS
	2.22.10.00	Wisconsin	Signals Cabinets & Equipment, Construction in 2020/2021	-,=	Const Total		Cons	struction Co	ntinued			Construct	tion Con	ntinued		-				-	+		\longrightarrow		4
		*				•		•								•	•	•		•	+				-

2021 - 20	24 Transpo	rtation Imp	rovement Program Project List																						
Draft Ame	endment, N	lovember 1	7, 2021				20	21 (x\$10	00)			2022 (x	\$100	10)		2	023 (x\$1000)				20	024 (x\$1	000)		
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	wı	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI MN		Local / Other Total	Fed	WI		cal / her	Γotal	Fed	wı	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
243-19-035	7140-00-02, 82	State of Wisconsin	STH 35, La Crosse – Trempealeau (Black River Bridges B- 32-016 and B-32-018).Replacement, Design Obligated in 2020 and Constructed in 2025-2026	Br Repl	PE ROW Const		Des	sign Continu	ued			Design Cor	ntinue	ed		De	esign Continued				De	esign Contir	ued		STBG
243-11-012	5120-03- 02,22,72	State of Wisconsin	STH 33. Jackson St. City of La Crosse, 3rd St. to 23th St. Surface (1.67 mi.)(Design obligated in 2011) Construction	Sys Pres	PE ROW Const	2,762.2		sign Continu		3,690.5		Construction (Contir	nued											NHPP
243-21-002	1630-03-00,	State of	obligated in 2021 USH 53, La Crosse - Galesville (B-32-131, 132, 135, 136, 139, 140), Bridge Rehabilitations, Design Anticipated in	Sys Pres	Total PE ROW	2,762.2 80.0	690.5 20.0		237.8	3,690.5 100.0		Design Cor	ntinue	ed		De	esign Continued					esign Contir	ued		NHPP
	80	Wisconsin	2021, Construction Anticipated in 2024 STH 33 (La Crosse to Cashton), Forest Ridge Dr - Kirschner		Total PE	80.0	20.0 De:	sign Continu	ued	100.0		Design Cor	ntinue	ed		De	esign Continued			533.6 533.6	133.4 133.4 De		ued	667.0 667.0)
243-20-030	5120-05-04, 74	State of Wisconsin	Rd, Resurface, Design Obligated in 2020, Construction Anticipated in 2026/2027	Sys Pres	ROW Const Total																				STBG
243-18-011	7930-08-00, 20, 70	State of Wisconsin	STH 108 - West Salem - Melrose, Stan Olson Rd to L Pfaff Rd, Safety Improvements, Design obligated in 2016, Construction in 2020	Sys Pres	PE ROW Const Total		Const	ruction Con	tinued																HSIP
243-19-023	7930-08-01, 21, 71	State of Wisconsin	STH 108 - West Salem - Melrose, Old 16 Road to North County Line, Paverment Replacement, (except Stan Olson Rd to L Pfaff Rd) includes Bridge Rehab B-32-0181. Design Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2026, Construction in 2027, Advanceable to 2023.	Sys Pres	PE ROW Const		De: 20.0	sign Continu	ued	20.0		Design Con					esign Continued OW Continued					esign Contin			STBG
243-19-012	7048-00-00,80	State of Wisconsin	CTH C, IH 90 – STH 16 (CTH B & CP RR Bridge B-32- 0069(84.10)), Bridge Rehab, Design Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated for 2021; Construction in 2022.	Sys Pres	PE ROW Const	1,319.8	330.0	sign Continu	ued	1,649.8		Construction (Contin	nued											STBG
243-21-012	5120-02-02, 72	State of Wisconsin	STH 33, City of La Crosse, State Road & Losey Boulevard Intersection, Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2025-2026	Sys Pres	PE ROW Const	1,319.8 152.1	330.0		38.0	1,649.8 190.1		Design Cor	ntinue	ed		De	esign Continued				De	esign Contir	ued		HSIP
243-14-020	7067-00-00, 70, 71	La Crosse County	CTH SN, CTH OT to Alpine Lane. Reconst, 2-phase: CTH OT to Hanson Rd, Incl. Br Repl P-32-127, Const obligated in 2018, Const in 2019. Hanson Rd. to Alpine Lane, Const Obligated in 2021	Reconst / Br Repl	PE ROW Const	1,876.4			479.4 479.4	2,355.8		Construction (Contin	nued											STBG
243-13-019	5346-00-00	La Crosse County	Drogseth Rd, Bostwick Creek Bridge P-32-0903. Bridge Replacement. Design obligated 2013.	Br Repl	PE ROW Const	1,070.4	De	sign Continu		2,000.0		Design Cor	ntinue	ed		De	esign Continued				De	esign Contin	ued		LF
243-15-014	5436-00-01,71	La Crosse County	CTH M, STH 33 - I90 (CTH O to CTH B), Recondition. Design obligated in 2014. Two-Phase Project CTH O to Wolter Rd, Construction Obligated in 2021, Construction in 2022. Wolter Rd to CTH B not Currently Scheduled/Obligated.	Re Cond	PE ROW Const	2,125.9	Des	sign Continu	823.7	2,949.6		Construction (Contir	nued											STBG
243-16-012	5085-00-00, 70	La Crosse County	CTH GI, Goose Island Campground, Recondition CTH GI, Design obligated 2016, Construction obligated in 2019 with construction in 2020.	Pav Rep	PE ROW Const	2,125.9	Const	ruction Con	823.7 tinued	2,949.6		Construction (Contir	nued											FLAP
243-20-017	5347-00-00	La Crosse County	CTH B, Town of Campbell - City of La Crosse (Clinton St to Lakeshore Dr), Reconstruct, 100% Locally funded Design, Obligated in 2020, Potential BUILD-TIGER grant or STBG project for Construction.	Reconst	PE ROW Const		Des	sign Continu	ued			Design Cor	ntinue	d		De	esign Continued				De	esign Contir	ued		LF
243-20-021	7323-00-00, 70	La Crosse County	CTH M, Towns of Onalaska & Farmington (Halfway Creek Bridge P-32-0100), Bridge Replacement, Design Obligated in 2020, Construction Obligated in 2021	Br Repl	PE ROW Const	333.6 333.6			122.3 122.3	455.9 455.9		Construction (Contir	nued											STBG
243-20-022	7049-00-00, 70	La Crosse County	CTH D, Towns of Onalaska & Farmington (Halfway Creek Bridge P-32-0055), Bridge Replacement, Design Obligated in 2020, with Construction in 2022.	Br Repl	PE ROW Const	333.0	Des	sign Continu		400.8	459.5	Design Cor	ntinue	273.0 732.5											STBG
243-20-023	7323-00-01, 71	La Crosse County	CTH M, STH 16 - CTH S (La Crosse River Bridge B-32- 0004), Bridge Replacement, Design Obligated in 2021, with Construction Obligated in 2023 (Actual Construction in	Br Repl	PE ROW Const	267.2			66.8	334.0	459.5	Design Cor	ntinue	273.0 732.5 ed	2,090.7			000.0	3,077.6		Const	truction Cor	ntinued		STBG
243-21-013	5991-02-61, 62	City of Onalaska	2024). East Avenue, City of Onalaska, Riders Club Road Intersection, Design Obligated in 2021, Construction Obligated in 2023	Sys Pres	PE ROW Const	267.2			66.8	334.0 12.0		Design Cor	ntinue	d	2,090.7	De	esign Continued	45.6	332.2						HSIP
243-19-024	5991-07-42, 43, 44	City of La Crosse	Gillette St, Caledonia St to STH 35/George St, Reconstruct, Design Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2021, with Construction in 2021	Reconst	PE ROW Const Total	884.7 884.7	De	sign Continu	12.0 ued 327.6 327.6	1,212.3 1,212.3		Construction (Contin	nued	286.6			45.6	332.2		<u>=</u>				STBG
243-19-025	5991-07-36, 37, 38	City of La Crosse	Green Bay St, East Ave to S 22nd St, Reconstruct, Design Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2021, with Construction in 2022.	Reconst	PE ROW Const Total	342.4 342.4		sign Continu	983.7 983.7	1,326.1 1,326.1		Construction (STBG
243-19-026	1641-03-04, 25	City of La Crosse	Various Intersection Improvements USH 14, STH 16, STH 33, Left Turn Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019 (see 1641-03-75, 5120-02-70, 7575-07-70 for Construction).	Sys Pres	PE ROW Const		De: RC	sign Continu OW Continu	ed ed			Design Con ROW Con	ntinue	d d											HSIP

2021 - 202	24 Transpo	rtation Imp	rovement Program Project List																							
Draft Ame		ovember 17	7, 2021				20	021 (x\$10	000)			2	022 (x\$1	000)				2023 (x	\$1000)				2024 (x	\$1000)		
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	wı	MN	Local of Other		Fed	WI	MN	Loca Othe		l Fee	ı w	/I MI	Local of Other	Total	Source of Funds
040 40 007	4044 00 75	City of La	USH 14/61, Mormon Coulee Rd/Broadview PI Intersection,	0 0	PE ROW																					HSIP
243-19-027	1641-03-75	Crosse	Left Turn Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019 (see 1641-03-04), Construction Obligated in 2021.	Sys Pres	Const	271.0			30.1	301.1		Cons	struction Co	ontinued												
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Total PE	271.0			30.1	301.1																
		City of La	STH 33/35, West Ave/Jackson St Intersection, Left Turn	0 5	ROW																					HSIP
243-19-028	5120-02-70	Crosse	Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019 (see 1641-03- 04), Construction Obligated in 2021.	Sys Pres	Const	284.8			269.3	554.1		Cons	struction Co	ontinued												
			5 1), Sondiadion Songaled in 2521.		Total	284.8			269.3	554.1																
		City of La	STH 16/35, West Ave/La Crosse St Intersection, Left Turn		PE ROW						1															HSIP
243-19-029	7575-07-70	Crosse	Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019 (see 1641-03- 04), Construction Obligated in 2022.	Sys Pres	Const						432.9)		139 139	1.8 572. 1.8 572.	7										
					Total PE		De	esign Contin	ued		432.9)		139	1.8 572.	7										
243-19-030	5220-04-04,	City of La	STH 35, West Ave/King St & West Ave/Badger St Intersections, Close Medians & Add Crosswalks, Design	Sys Pres	ROW		De	sign Contin	ueu																	
240 10 000	24, 74	Crosse	Obligated in 2020, Construction in 2021.	Oys i ics	Const	321.8			206.4 206.4	528.2 528.2		Cons	struction Co	ontinued												HSIP
					Total PE	321.8			206.4	528.2																
243-21-014	1062-08-80	State of	Various Highways, Vernon County Bridges - Approach Slab	Sys Pres	ROW																					
		Wisconsin	Repair, Construction Obligated in 2021, Construction in 2022		Const Total		59.9 59.9			59.9 59.9		Cons	struction Co	ontinued												SF
			Various Highways, La Crosse County Bridges - Approach		PE		00.0			00.0																
243-21-015	1032-08-80	State of Wisconsin	Slab Repair, Construction Obligated in 2021, Construction in	Sys Pres	ROW Const		105.0			105.0		Cons	truction Co	ntinued												SF
		WISCOISIII	2022		Total		105.0			105.0		Cons	struction oc	muca												
			OTHER OTHER OTHER DESIGNATION		PE				497.8	497.8		De	esign Conti	nued				Design Co	ontinued				Design Co	ntinued		
243-21-016	5436-00-06	La Crosse County	CTH M, CTH I - CTH O, Reconsruct. Design Anticipated in 2021. Construction not Currently Scheduled/Obligated	Reconst	ROW Const																					LF
					Total				497.8	497.8																
		La Crosse	CTH M, CTH I - CTH YY, Bridge Replacement of B-32-007.		PE ROW				47.9	47.9		De	esign Conti	nued				Design Co	ontinued				Design Co	ntinued		
243-21-017	5436-00-07	County	Design Anticipated in 2021. Construction not Currently Scheduled/Obligated	Br Repl	Const																					LF
					Total				47.9	47.9				1.												
040.04.040		La Crosse	Mohican Trail, Town of Onalaska, Bridge Replacement of P-	D- DI	PE ROW				106.4	106.4		De	esign Conti	nuea				Design Co	ontinuea				Design Ci	ntinued		_
243-21-018	7272-00-02	County	32-923, Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction not Currently Scheduled/Obligated	Br Repl	Const																					LF
			1 1		Total PE				106.4	106.4																
243-21-019	3700-10-89	State of	Various Highways, City of La Crosse (Various Highways/Intersections), Signals Cabinets & Equipment,	Sys Pres	ROW																					
21021010	3700 10 03	Wisconsin	Construction in 2021/2022/2023	6,611.00	Const Total		444.3 444.3		49.4 49.4	493.7 493.7		Cons	struction Co	ontinued			Co	onstruction	Continued							ITS
					PE		444.3		49.4	493.7																
243-21-020	1630-00-81	State of	USH 53, La Crosse County Wide (IH 90 - STH 35), Bridges - Approach Slab Repair, Construction Obligated in 2021,	Sys Pres	ROW																					
245-21-020	1630-00-61	Wisconsin	Construction in 2022	Sys Fies	Const		51.0			51.0	1	Cons	struction Co	ontinued												SF
					Total	585 Q	51.0 65.1			51.0 651.0				.									<u> </u>			
040.04.004	1071-01-02,	State of	IH 90, La Crosse - West Salem (Theater Road to CTH C),	Our Dave	PE ROW	585.9	65.1			651.0	1	De	esign Conti	nuea				Design Co	ontinuea				Design Co	ntinued		_
243-21-021	82	Wisconsin	Resurface, Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2027	Sys Pres	Const																					NHPP
			Railroad Crossing Improvement, TCMC Intercity Passenger		Total PE	585.9 2,647.8	65.1 417.1	1 348 0		651.0 4,412.9)	De	esign Conti	nued				Design Co	ontinued							
243-21-022	1000-74-00,	State of	Rail Grant, La Crosse - St. Paul (2nd Round-trip), Design	Rail	ROW	230.2	417.1	153.5		383.7	245.2			nucu	408.	,		ROW Co								Rail
243-21-022	20, 40, 50, 51, 70, 71, 72	Wisconsin	Obligated in 2021, ROW Obligated in 2021-2022,	Kali	Const	200.2					2.10.12	100.0				28,676.8	5,619	9.3 8,49				(Construction	Continued		Safety
			Construction Obligated in 2023 (const. until 2026)		Total PE	2,878.0	417.1	1,501.5		4,796.6	245.2	163.5	i		408.	28,676.8	5,619	9.3 8,49	98.5 5,00	0.0 47,79	4.6					
243-21-023	5991-05-52	State of	Town of Shelby, Losey Boulevard (BNSF RR Xing	Rail	ROW																					Rail
210 21 020	3331 03 32	Wisconsin	079827S), Signal Replacement, Construction in 2023	- Call	Const											176.8 176.8	3		17							Safety
			Total		Total	34,077.2	13,794.3	1,501.5	8,578.6	57,951.6	13,728.8	3 4,390.3	3 0.	0 4,74	4.9 22,864		8 5,94	46.8 8,4			0.0	35.5 3	,543.3	0.0	.2 18,088	1.0 153,909
MINNESOTA I	PROJECTS							2021					202						023					024		
	028-606-024,		**AC**: CSAH 6, From Winona County Line to Town Hall		PE																					
243-18-013	028-606-	Houston County	Road, Bituminous Reclamation / Bituminous Pavement	Sys Pres	Other	1,680.0				1,680.0			1			1	-									STBG
	024AC		(Need AC Payback)		TOTAL	1,680.0				1,680.0																
		City of Lo			PE	\Box					 	_	1 -	+-		1					-1-			_	+-	TAP
243-18-014	236-090-003	City of La Crescent	La Crescent Wagon Wheel Trail Phase 3	Other	Other	1,000.0			1,767.3	2,767.3	1		1	+		1					-		-	-		IAP
					TOTAL	1,000.0			1,767.3	2,767.3	1															
		City of La	City of La Crescent; Purchase One (1) Class 400 Low Floor	_	PE OA						-		1	-		1					-	-	_	-	-	STBG
243-20-032	TRS-3780-21	Crescent	Bus (Replaces Unit 103)	Transit	CAPT	77.6		70.0																		3100
					TOTAL	77.6	_	70.0	16.4	164.0			1	1		1										
243-09-015	TRF-3780-21,	City of La	0507 5007. La Occasión Taranti Occasión A	T	OA	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0)	1	263	.0 375.	112.0)		26	3.0 37	5.0					5307
243-09-015	22, 23	Crescent	SECT 5307: La Crescent Transit Operating Assistance	Transit	CAPT]
	1			1	TOTAL	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0	1	1	263	375.	112.0	וו		26	3.0 37	5.0			1	1	

2021 - 202	24 Transp	orta	ation Impr	ovement Program Project List																							
Draft Ame	endment, l	Nov	ember 17	', 202 1				20)21 (x\$10	000)			20	22 (x\$10	000)			20	23 (x\$100	00)			20	24 (x\$10	00)		
Project Number	State ID Number	5	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	wı	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	wı	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
				Total:		_	2,869.6	0.0	70.0	2,046.7	4,986.3	112.0	0.0	0.0	263.0	375.0	112.0	0.0	0.0	263.0	375.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,736

		Agency	Total:		Туре	2,869.6	0.0	70.0 2,04	6.7 4,986	.3 112.	0 0.0	0.0	263.0	375.0	112.0	0.0	0.0 263.0	375.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0 5,
VISCONSIN T	RANSIT PROJ	ECTS					202	21				2022					2023	ı			2024		
243-03-037		La Crosse	MiniBus, Volunteer Driver	Transit	PE OA	26	9.6	50	3.9 323.	.5	269.6	i	53.9	323.5		269.6	53.9	323.5	5	269.6		53.9 323.5	5 SF
		County			CAPT TOTAL	26	9.6	50	3.9 323.	.5	269.6	i	53.9	323.5		269.6	53.9	323.5	5	269.6		53.9 323.5	_ 5
040 00 000		City of	ATTUO III A III		PE OA	2,028.0 1,49	3.2	5,475	5.2 8,996.	4 2,028.0	1,493.2	!	5,475.2	8,996.4	2,028.0	1,493.2	5,475.2	8,996.4	2,028.0	1,493.2		5,475.2 8,996.4	4 5307
243-03-039		LaCrosse	MTU Operating Assistance	Transit	CAPT	2,028.0 1,49		5,475					5,475.2	8,996.4			5,475.2			1,493.2		5,475.2 8,996.4	
		City of			PE	2,020.0 1,48	13.2	3,47	0,990.	2,020.0	1,493.2		5,475.2	0,550.4	2,020.0	1,495.2	3,473.2	0,990.4	2,020.0	1,433.2		3,473.2 0,590.4	
243-20-033		City of LaCrosse	MTU Replacement Service Vehicles, Two (2) Priuses	Transit	OA CAPT		Conti	inued															5307
					TOTAL PE																		=
243-20-034		City of LaCrosse	MTU Three (3) Replacement Service Vans	Transit	OA CAPT		Conti	inued															5307
					TOTAL		-																1
243-20-035		City of	MTU In-Ground and Movable Wheel Engaging Vehicle	Transit	OA																		5307
		LaCrosse	Hoists		CAPT TOTAL		Conti	nued				Continued	1										1
242 10 022		City of La	MTU purchase of one 35-ft Diesel Bus. Obligated in 2019.	Tit	PE OA																		5339
243-19-033		Crosse	Capital acquired in 2021.	Transit	CAPT		Conti	inued															1
	VAM BUIG	0: 11	MTU purchase of one 35-ft Clean Diesel Bus. Obligated in		PE																		†
243-19-034	VW-BUS- LACR-01	City of La Crosse	2019. Capital will be acquired in 2021 due to delays created by COVID-19. (The Local Share will be paid over 10 years	Transit	OA CAPT		Conti	nued															VW
			through shared revenue reduction.)		TOTAL PE																		+
243-21-001		City of La Crosse	Two (2) Replacement Fixed-Route Low-Floor Diesel-Electric Hybrid Buses. (The Local Share will be paid over 10 years	Transit	OA CAPT	1,09	18.4	274	1.6 1,373.	0		Continued	1										VW
		010330	through shared revenue reduction.)		TOTAL	1,09		274		.0		Continued											1
243-19-031	5991-07-40	City of La	MTU Buses, MTU Public Transit, 3 MTU Buses, Obligated in	Transit	PE OA																		STBG
2.0 .0 00.	0001 01 10	Crosse	2020	Transit	TOTAL		Conti	nued															-
		City of La	MTU Low- or No-Emission - 2 Electric Buses, 2 Charging Stations, Infrastructure on Electric Grid; funds obligated in		PE OA		Conti	inuad				Continued											5339(c
243-19-019		Crosse	2019; capital will be acquired in 2021 due to delays created	Transit	CAPT		Conti	nueu				Continued											5559(0
			by COVID-19		TOTAL PE																		-
243-19-032	5991-02-60	City of Onalaska	Transit Vans, OHWS Public Transit, 5 Transit Vans, Obligated in 2019	Transit	OA CAPT		Conti	nued				Continued	1										5339(0
			g		TOTAL																		1
243-18-027		Couleecap	Vehicle Loans, Operating, Mobility Management (WETAP).	Transit	PE OA	10.0 1	7.8		7.8 55.	7													5307
		Обиобоар	Crawford, La Crosse, and Vernon Counties		TOTAL		6.9 34.8		33. 1.6 89.														85.24
			Mobility Management, Volunteer Driver Program and		PE OA	10.6			0.6 21.														5310
243-09-010		Vernon County	Vernon County Mini Bus. Serves locations in La Crosse Area	Transit	CAPT																		3310
		Vernon Area			TOTAL PE	10.6		10	0.6 21.	.2													1
243-20-018		Rehabilitation	One (1) medium bus replacement vehicle.	Transit	OA CAPT	57.4		14	1.4 71.	8													5310
		Center			TOTAL	57.4			1.4 71.														1
243-21-003		Aptiv, Inc.	One (1) Minivan, Rear-Load for Vehicle Expansion. Serves	Transit	OA																		5310
			La Crosse, Monroe, Jackson, and Walworth Counties		CAPT TOTAL	32.8 32.8		8	3.2 41. 3.2 41.														1
040 04 004			One (1) Bariatric Van, Side-Load, Vehicle Replacement.	Transit	PE OA																		5310
243-21-004		Monroe County	Serves La Crosse and Monroe Counties	Hansii	OA CAPT TOTAL	41.6 41.6			0.4 52. 0.4 52.														1
		Tri-State	0 (045) 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		PE	41.0		- 10	J.4 JZ.	.0													-
243-21-005		Regional Ambulance, Inc	One (1) Minivan, Rear-Load, Vehicle Replacement. Serves La Crosse County and Other Southwest Wisconsin Counties.	Transit	OA CAPT	32.8			3.2 41.														5310
		7 tiribalarioo, irio			TOTAL PE	32.8		8	3.2 41.	.0													4
243-20-013		La Crosse County	Twp battery electric cutaway buses and associated infrastructure equipment for Scenic Mississippi Regional	Transit	OA CAPT	492.6		7	7.4 570.	0													VW
		County	Transit (SMRT) service		TOTAL	492.6		7															1
243-20-024	5991-02-01	City of Onalaska	Four (4) Transit Vans, Obligation in 2020	Transit	PE OA																		STBG
2.0 20 02.1	0001 02 01	Only of Orlandona	rodi (1) marok vario, obligation in 2020	ranon	CAPT TOTAL		Conti	nued				Continued	1										-
		City of La			PE OA																		STBG
243-20-025	5991-05-00	Crosse	One (1) MTU Diesel Bus, Obligation in 2020	Transit	CAPT		Conti	nued															3160
					TOTAL PE																		1
243-20-026		La Crosse County	One (1) Standard 30-ft Bus Replacement, Obligation in 2022.	Transit	OA CAPT					240.0)		60.0	300.0									5339
					TOTAL					240.0			60.0	300.0									1
243-20-036		La Crosse	Rehabilitation/Rebuild, Two 30-ft Buses (ADA) - SMRT,	Transit	OA					1													5311
		County	Obligated in 2021		CAPT TOTAL	45.0 45.0			5.0 50. 5.0 50.														1
		La Crosse	Scenic Mississippi Regional Transit (SMRT) La Crosse,		PE OA		53.4	160															5311
243-13-013		County	Crawford, Monroe, and Vernon Counties	Transit	CAPT																		3311
					TOTAL PE		53.4	160															
243-03-043	11.12.15	City of Onalaska	Onalaska / Holmen / West Salem Public Transit. Operating Assistance, Additional vans (2017 - 2022)	Transit	OA CAPT	264.4 19	95.0	398	3.0 857.	4					\vdash								5307
243-03-043	11.93.02																						

Table 5:	2021 - 2024 TI	P Groupe	d Project List																							
Draft Amen	dment, Novemb	er 17, 202	1					2021	(x\$1000)				2022	(x\$1000)				2023	(x\$1000)				2024	(x\$1000)		
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsor-ing Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
	Tran	sportation Safe	ety Programs (HSIP) Project Group	•																						
	Pai	L-Highway Cros	sing Safety (OCR) Project Group			l I		1					1	1	1	1	1				1	1	I			
	rtui	i riigiiway Olos	Sing Saisty (SON) Froject Group																							
	High-Spee	d Corridor Grad	de Crossing Improvements Project Group																							
																										
	SW R	egion/District 6	Bridge Maintenance Project Group	1																						
	SW Region/Dis	strict 6 Paveme	nt Rehabilitation/Maintenance Project Group	1																						
	2cg.01// D10																									
	SW Region	on/District 6 Va	rious Pavement Marking Project Group																							
			VAR 1849/ 83/ R		PE																					ı
243-21-008	1009-12-94	State of Wisconsin	VAR HWY, SW Region Pavement Marking, Pavement Marking 2021	Sys Pres	ROW Other	800.0	200.0			1.000.0																STBG
		VVISCOIISIII	Favernerit Marking 2021		Total	800.0				1,000.0																ı
			I		Total	000.0	200.0			1,000.0																
					PE																					
	SW Region/Distric	ct 6 Local Trans	sportation Safety Projects (HSIP) Project Group	1																						
	SW Pagio	n/District 6 Loo	al Emergency Relief (EF) Project Group																							
	SW Regio	II/DISTRICT & LOC	ai Emergency Relier (EF) Project Group																							
		Transporta	tion Alternatives Program																							
								•																		
	1000 00 07 70 1000		Non-Infrastructure, La Crosse County. Equipment;		PE			Continued					Continued					Continued					Continued			ı
243-11-010	1009-00-67, 73 1009- 01-03,04	La Crosse County	Education, Encouragement, Enforcement and Evaluation Activities. Continuation of County	Other	ROW Const																					TAP
	01-03,04	County	Coordinator		Total																					ı
			Multi-use Trail (S. Kinney Coulee Rd - Landfill Rd),		PE	61.2			79.2	140.4																
243-21-010	5991-08-03. 73	La Crosse	Design obligated in 2021, Construction obligated in	Other	ROW																					TAP
240 21 010	3331 00 00, 73	County	2022 with construction in 2023	Other	Const						483.8			121.0	604.8		Const	ruction Con	tinued							1741
				1	Total PE	61.2			79.2		483.8			121.0	604.8											
		La Crosse	Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridge (CTH VP in Veteran's		ROW	65.8			16.5	82.3																
243-21-010	5991-08-04, 74	County	Park), Design obligated in 2021, Construction	Other	Const						591.4			147.8	739.2		Const	ruction Con	tinued							TAP
			obligated in 2022 with construction in 2023	<u> </u>	Total	65.8			16.5	82.3	591.4			147.8	739.2		22.100									<u>. </u>
			Non-Infrastructure, La Crosse County, SRTS,		PE						138.0			34.5	172.5	138.0			34.5	172.5						
243-21-010	1009-01-25, 26	La Crosse	Obligated in 2022 and 2023, Commencement	Other	ROW																					TAP
		County	08/2024		Const Total						138.0			34.5	172.5	138.0			34.5	172.5						1
					Total						138.0			34.5	172.5	136.0			34.5	172.5						

2022-2025 TIP

LAPC Transportation Improvement Program



To be approved on

November 17, 2021

2022 - 2025 Transportation Improvement Program

for the

La Crosse Area Planning Committee (LAPC), the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the La Crosse, WI – La Crescent, MN Urbanized Area

STAFF:

All questions, comments, or requests for documents and services may be directed via phone, fax, e-mail, or in person to:

Peter Fletcher, Executive Director Phone: 608.785.5977 E-mail: pfletcher@lacrossecounty.org

OR

Jackie Eastwood, Transportation Planner Phone: 608.785.6141

E-mail: jeastwood@lacrossecounty.org

At:

La Crosse Area Planning Committee La Crosse County Administrative Center 212 6th St N, Room 2300 La Crosse, WI 54601

This Transportation Improvement Program and other LAPC documents, meeting minutes and agendas, and other information may also be obtained on our website at www.lacrossecounty.org/mpo.

To request this document in an alternate format, please contact Jackie Eastwood at 608.785.6141 or at jeastwood@lacrossecounty.org.

La Crosse Area Planning Committee

POLICY BOARD

Linda Seidel, Chair Chair, Town of Medary

Mitch Reynolds Mayor, City of La Crosse

Kim SmithMayor, City of Onalaska

Mike Poellinger Mayor, City of La Crescent Patrick Barlow, Vice Chair President, Village of Holmen

> Timothy L. Candahl Chair, Town of Shelby

Scott Schumacher
President, Village of West Salem

Joshua Johnson Chair, Town of Campbell **Stan Hauser** Chair, Town of Onalaska

Bob Stupi Chair, Town of Holland

Monica Kruse
Chair, La Crosse County Board

The preparation of this document was funded in part by the United States Department of Transportation with funding administered through the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, the Minnesota Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, and the Federal Transit Administration. Additional funding was provided locally by the member jurisdictions of the La Crosse Area Planning Committee. The United States Government and the states of Wisconsin and Minnesota assume no liability for the contents or use thereof.

The contents of this document reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the policies of the State and Federal departments of transportation.









Table of Contents

Introduction	1
About the LAPC	1
History	1
Urbanized Area	1
Metropolitan Planning Area	2
Governance and Organizational Structure	3
TIP Planning Process	5
Self-Certifications and Federal Certifications	5
TIP Update Activities	6
Public Notification and Comment	6
General TIP Schedule	6
TIP Amendment Process	7
Project Prioritization Process	8
STP-U Project Selection Policies	8
TIP Projects Lists	11
TIP Projects List	11
TIP Grouped Projects List	12
Illustrative Projects List	19
List of Projects Removed from the TIP	19
Wisconsin LRIP Open Projects County Summary Report	20
List of Annual Obligated Projects	20
Performance Measures & Targets	23
Background	23
Target Setting & Performance Monitoring	23
Highway Safety	24
Highway and Bridge Condition and Performance	26
Transit Asset Management (TAM)	27
Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP)	28
How the TIP Supports State and Transit Agency Targets	29
Community Impact Assessment	31
Potential Impact of Projects on Low-Income, Minority, LEP, and Disabled Persons	31
Financial Plan	35
Appendix A: Public Process	39
Appendix B: Minnesota MPO TIP Check List	43
Appendix C: Contact Information	49

List of Figures

Figure 1: Metropolitan planning area and adjusted urbanized area.	2
Figure 2: LAPC Committee Structure	3
Figure 3: Injury severity rates and trends, 2012-2019	25
Figure 4: 2022-2025 TIP projects	34
List of Tables	
Table 1: General Schedule for TIP Review and Approval	
Table 2: Project Funding Sources	
Table 3: 2022-2024 Transportation Improvement Program Project List	
Table 4: 2022-2025 TIP Grouped Project List	
Table 5: Illustrative Projects List	
Table 6: List of Projects Removed from the 2022-2025 TIP	
Table 7: List of 2020 Annual Obligated Projects	21
Table 8: WisDOT Highway Safety Improvement Program Performance Targets, 2022	24
Table 9: MnDOT Highway Safety Improvement Program Performance Targets, 2021	24
Table 10: WisDOT & MnDOT National Highway Performance Program Draft Targets, 2018-2021	26
Table 11: Planning Area Performance: National Highway Performance Program Measures	27
Table 12: State of Good Repair for Rolling Stock for La Crosse Municipal Transit Utility (MTU) and Onalaska Shared Ride (OSR), 2020	28
Table 13: Public Transit Agency Safety Plan Measures and Targets	28
Table 14: Distribution of TIP Investments Toward Addressing Federal Performance Measures	29
Table 15: Tracts with a High Percentage of Minority, Low-Income, LEP, or Disabled Persons, 2015-20	19.32
Table 16: Projects Within or Bordering High Percentage Tracts	33
Table 17: 2022-2025 TIP Financial Plan Expenditures	35
Table 18: 2022-2025 TIP Financial Plan Estimated Available Funding	36
Table 19: Local Road and Highway Operations and Maintenance Expenses, 2016-2019	37
Table 20: Highway Operations and Maintenance Cost Projections, 2021-2025	37
Table 21: Anticipated Expenses and Revenues for Transit Operations and Maintenance	38
Table 22: 23 CFR 450 Required Elements for TIP	43

Glossary

Administrative Modification: This is required when a minor change or revision is needed for a TIP project which does not require a formal amendment.

Allocation: A specific amount of money that has been set aside by the state for a jurisdiction to use for transportation improvements.

Amendment: A significant change or addition of a TIP project which requires opportunity for public input and consideration by the MPO Policy Board prior to becoming part of the TIP. The TIP document provides guidance on what changes require an amendment, pursuant to CFR and the MPO's adopted Public Participation Plan (PPP).

Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (ALOP): This section identifies projects which have been programmed and funding has been obligated. For example, projects are listed in the ALOP section if the project has been or will be bid or let prior the end of 2021 Federal Fiscal Year (September 30, 2021). The annual listing will represent 2021 projects as part of the 2022-2025 TIP.

Area Transportation Improvement Program (ATIP): The ATIP is a compilation of significant surface transportation improvements scheduled for implementation within a district of the state of Minnesota during the next four years. Minnesota has an ATIP for each of its Districts. The LAPC's TIP projects in Minnesota fall under the ATIP for MnDOT District 6. All Minnesota projects listed in the TIP are required to be listed in the ATIP.

Environmental Justice: Identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of MPO programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations. The LAPC also includes limited-English proficient and disabled populations in its environmental justice analyses.

FAST Act: Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act was introduced in December of 2015 as the transportation bill to replace MAP-21. The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act is a bipartisan, bicameral, five-year legislation to improve the Nation's surface transportation infrastructure, including our roads, bridges, transit systems, and passenger rail network. In addition to authorizing programs to strengthen this vital infrastructure, the FAST Act also enhances federal safety programs for highways, public transportation, motor carrier, hazardous materials, and passenger rail.

Federal Functional Classification: The federal functional classification system defines the current functioning role of a road or street. Generally, the two basic functions of a roadway are: (1) to allow for access to property and (2) to allow travel mobility. The classifications of roadways include Arterial, Collector, and Local which determine the balance of the two roadway functions which range from high mobility/low access (Arterials) to high access/low mobility (Locals), with Collector roadways falling somewhere in between. They are further classified into urban and rural.

Federal Revenue Source: In the project tables, the column identified by "Fed" lists the source of federal revenues proposed for funding the project. The categories are abbreviated to indicate the specific federal program planned for the scheduled improvement. The abbreviations to these categories are shown in the list on page 12.

Fiscal Constraint: Demonstrating with sufficient financial information to confirm that projects within said document can be implemented using committed or available revenue sources, with reasonable assurance that the federally supported transportation system is being adequately operated and maintained.

Illustrative Project: A project which does not have funding but is an important project for the jurisdiction to identify within the TIP to show the need for the project.

Jurisdictions: The member units of government that are within the LAPC's planning area. The member jurisdictions include: the cities of La Crosse and Onalaska in Wisconsin and the city of La Crescent in Minnesota; the villages of Holmen and West Salem in Wisconsin; and the towns of Campbell, Holland, Medary, Onalaska, and Shelby in Wisconsin. La Crosse County is also a member.

Locally Funded Project: Projects of note that are funded by local or state agencies and do not require action by FHWA or FTA. These projects are included to assist in coordination between local jurisdictions during staging and construction. Locally funded projects of note may be included in the TIP project listing section for information and coordination purposes only.

Major Highway Project: The Major Highway Development subprogram in Wisconsin funds projects deemed necessary to address serious deficiencies on highly traveled segments of the highway system. 84.103 of the State Statutes defines a Major Highway Project as costing more than \$30M AND constructing a new highway 2.5 miles or more in length and/or reconstructing or reconditioning an existing highway by either relocating 2.5 miles or more or adding one or more lanes 5 miles or more in length.

MAP-21: Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century, the previous surface transportation act that was signed into effect on July 6, 2012 and expired September 30, 2014.

Obligated Funds: A form of committed funds funded under title 23 U.S.C. Chapter 53 that are authorized or committed by the state or designated recipient and authorized by FHWA or awarded by FTA.

Project Costs: The project listing includes a breakdown of costs by the type of cost incurred: PE (Preliminary Engineering), ROW (right-of-way), and CONST (construction) for roadway projects and PE, OA (operating assistance), and CAPT (capital) for transit projects. Costs are reported in the year of obligation, are shown in thousands of dollars, and are categorized by FED (federal share), WI (Wisconsin share) or MN (Minnesota share), and Local/Other. The sum of the individual shares will equal the total cost of the project.

Project Description: The project description provides sufficient detail to identify the project or phase, including the project's jurisdiction, facility name, length, specific geographical limits, proposed improvement(s), year(s) of funding obligations, and year(s) of anticipated design, right-of-way, and construction as applicable.

Project Number: The project number is the number given to a project by the LAPC for reference and for tracking the project across multiple years. This number is not related to any project number that may be assigned to a project by any other agency, and it does not reflect the order of priority in which the responsible agency has placed the project or the order of construction.

Project Prioritization: This is an exercise in which the member jurisdictions of the LAPC evaluate candidate projects submitted for Surface Transportation Program – Urban and Transportation Alternatives Program

funds. The LAPC then submits the prioritized list of projects to the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for further review and evaluation.

Project Solicitation: This is a request sent out to jurisdictional members to submit applications requesting federal funding for federal aid eligible projects.

Project Type: Project types include emergency repair, system preservation, bridge replacement, pavement replacement, reconditioning, reconstruction, Major (Wisconsin expansion), transit, and other

Project Year: This is the year in which the project is funded, or the year in which funding is identified and programmed for the project. The project year is not necessarily the construction year however, it is typical that first year TIP projects are bid or let before the next annual TIP is developed.

Public Participation Plan (PPP): An adopted MPO plan which identifies the public input process which will be used for all types of projects including introducing a new TIP and making amendments and modifications to the existing TIP.

Regionally Significant Project (RS): A transportation project that is on a facility that serves regional transportation needs, major activity centers, and major planned developments, and would normally be included in the modeling of the metropolitan planning area's transportation network. At a minimum, this includes all principle arterial highways.

Safe Accountable Flexible Efficient Transportation Act, A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU): A previous surface transportation act that expired July 5, 2012 and was replaced with MAP-21.

Sponsoring Agency: In the project tables, this column identifies the agency or jurisdiction usually initiating the project, requesting funding, and carrying out the necessary paperwork associated with project completion.

State ID Number: In the project tables, this column identifies the state project number(s) associated with a project. Each project in the table has only one LAPC project number, but may have one or more state ID numbers.

State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP): A compilation of significant surface transportation improvements scheduled for implementation within a state during the next four fiscal years. All projects listed in the TIP are required to be listed in the STIP.

Transit Operator: The designated transit service operator(s) providing public transit for the area. The 5307 transit operators for the area are La Crosse Municipal Transit Utility (MTU) and Onalaska Shared Ride (OSR).

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP): A compilation of significant surface transportation improvements scheduled for implementation in the MPO planning area during the next four years.

3-C Planning Process: As outlined in 23 C.F.R. 450 related to Metropolitan Transportation Planning, the planning process between MPOs, state transportation departments and transportation operators is required to be continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive (3-C).

Acronyms

3-C	Comprehensive, Cooperative, and Continuing	PL	Public Law
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act	PM	Performance Management
ALOP	Annual Listing of Obligated Projects	PM1	FHWA Performance Measure Rule 1- Safety
ATIP	Area Transportation Improvement Program (MN)	PM2	PHWA Performance Measure Rule 2-Pavement and Bridge Condition
ATP	Area Transportation Partnership (MN)	PM3	FHWA Performance Measure Rule 3-System Performance, Freight, and CMAQ
BR	Bridge	PPP	Public Participation Plan
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	PTASP	Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan
CMAQ	Congestion, Mitigation, and Air Quality	RTAP	Rural Transit Assistance Program
CSAH	County State Aid Highway (MN)	SAFETEA- LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
CTAT	Committee on Transit and Active Transportation	SF	State Funded
EJ	Environmental Justice	SGR	State of Good Repair
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	SHSP	Strategic Highway Safety Plan
ER	Emergency Relief	SMS	Safety Management Systems
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration	SRTS	Safe Routes to School
FAST Act	Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act	STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant program
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	STIP	Statewide Transportation Improvement Program
FLAP	Federal Lands Access Program	STP-R	Surface Transportation Program-Rural
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration	STP-U	Surface Transportation Program-Urban
FTA	Federal Transit Administration	TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
FY	Fiscal Year	TAP	Transportation Alternatives Program
HSIP	Highway Safety Improvement Program	TAM	Transit Asset Management
ITS	Intelligent Transportation System	TAMP	Transit Asset Management Plan
LAPC	La Crosse Area Planning Committee	TDM	Travel Demand Model
LF	Locally Funded	TDP	Transit Development Plan
Major			
MnDOT	Minnesota Department of Transportation	TERM	Transit Economic Requirements Model
MPA	Metropolitan Planning Area	TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization	TSM	Transportation System Management
MTP	Metropolitan Transportation Plan	TTI	Travel Time Index
NBI	National Bridge Inventory	TTTR	Truck Travel Time Reliability
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act	UPWP	Unified Planning Work Program
NHFP	National Highway Freight Program	USC	United State Code
NHPP	National Highway Performance Program	USDOT	United States Department of Transportation
NHS	National Highway System	WisDOT	Wisconsin Department of Transportation
O&M	Operations and Maintenance	YOE	Year of Expenditure
PCI	Pavement Condition Index		

Introduction

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a four-year program of transportation improvements that are wholly within or impact the metropolitan planning area (MPA) for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee (LAPC). As the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the region, the LAPC is required to update and approve a TIP every four years. The LAPC, in cooperation with the Minnesota and Wisconsin Departments of Transportation and our local public transit agencies, updates its TIP annually.

The public process (Appendix A) for development of the TIP is based on a continuous, comprehensive, and cooperative (3-C) planning process. It not only includes working with our DOTs and transit agencies it also includes working with our local governments, modal advocates, and other agency stakeholders in the development of a final TIP document.

The 2022-2025 TIP is developed in accordance with *Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act* signed on December 4, 2015 and with Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 450.336. It includes projects and programs consistent with *Beyond Coulee Vision 2040*—the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP) for the MPA—approved by the LAPC on September 16, 2020.

About the LAPC

The LAPC is the designated MPO for the La Crosse, WI-La Crescent, MN Urbanized Area. MPOs are designated for urbanized areas of 50,000 or more in population to carry out the metropolitan transportation planning process as established in 23 CFR 450 and to provide a forum for local decision-making on transportation issues of a regional nature. The designation must be agreed upon by the governor (in our case, governors of Wisconsin and Minnesota) and the local units of government that together represent at least 75 percent of the affected population (including the largest incorporated city, based on population, as named by the Bureau of the Census).

History

The LAPC was formed in 1966 by intermunicipal agreement as a regional planning committee to develop an areawide transportation study. Its duties were expanded in 1967 to include comprehensive plans.

The 1970 Decennial Census established the *La Crosse (Wis.-Minn.) Urbanized Area--*population 63,373--which consisted of the cities of La Crosse (population 51,153) and Onalaska and parts of the towns of Campbell and Shelby in La Crosse County, WI; and the then-village of La Crescent and part of the township of La Crescent in Houston County, MN. As a result of the urbanized area delineation, the LAPC was then designated by the governors of Wisconsin and Minnesota as the MPO for the urbanized area.

Urbanized Area

The core of the MPA is the Census-designated urbanized area defined by the U.S. Census Bureau during the Decennial Census update. The urbanized area boundary is adjusted by the LAPC in coordination with WisDOT and MnDOT to define road segments as "urban" or "rural" and, thus, determining eligibility for different transportation programs. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) approved the current adjusted boundary in 2013.

Metropolitan Planning Area

The MPA includes the urbanized area as well as any contiguous areas anticipated to become urbanized within a twenty-year forecast period. As a result of the urbanized area extending along STH 35 and CTH K, the LAPC's MPA expanded in 2013 to include a small portion of the town of Bergen in Vernon County.

The MPA, which is roughly 320 square miles (205,000 acres), includes 12 communities in western La Crosse County, WI; two communities in northeastern Houston County, MN; one community in southeastern Winona County, MN; and a tiny bit of northern Vernon County, WI. All but the town of Bergen in Vernon County are wholly within the MPA.

Figure 1 illustrates the planning and urbanized areas as adjusted in 2013.




Figure 1: Metropolitan planning area and adjusted urbanized area.

Governance and Organizational Structure

The La Crosse Area Planning Committee is governed by a Policy Board made up of the highest elected official from each member local government. Currently, the Policy Board has 11 members representing:

- City of La Crescent, MN
- City of La Crosse, WI
- City of Onalaska, WI
- Village of Holmen, WI
- Village of West Salem, WI
- Town of Campbell, WI

- Town of Holland, WI
- Town of Medary, WI
- Town of Onalaska, WI
- Town of Shelby, WI
- La Crosse County, WI

The member governments pay dues (revenue) into the MPO to cover the local share of MPO operating expenses. Most of the revenue is provided by Federal and State planning funds.

The Policy Board oversees a staff of two—an executive director and a transportation planner. Staff are housed in La Crosse County, which serves as a third-party fiscal agent. Salaries and benefits are paid by La Crosse County and then reimbursed by the LAPC. The LAPC also pays the County for the cost of housing staff and administering the MPO, for support services such as IT, and for software licensing, etc.

The Policy Board also oversees two subcommittees—the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and the Committee on Transit and Active Transportation (CTAT). Staff serve as organizers and facilitators of the two committees. Figure 2 illustrates the organizational structure.




Figure 2: LAPC Committee Structure

The LAPC encourages participation of all citizens in the regional transportation planning and programming process. All Policy Board, TAC, and CTAT meetings are public meetings.

The LAPC continues to make efforts to encourage and promote diversity. To encourage participation in its committees, the LAPC continues to reach out to community, ethnic and faith-based organizations to connect with all populations.

Additionally, the LAPC strives to find ways to make participating on its committees convenient. This includes scheduling meetings in locations with good transit service and online through virtual platforms.

This page intentionally left blank.

TIP Planning Process

The TIP is updated annually, with the Minnesota project list approved in July and the Wisconsin project list and TIP document approved in November. Revisions to the TIP are considered throughout the year as the need arises.

Self-Certifications and Federal Certifications

As an attainment area, the LAPC certifies at least every four years that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

• 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 5303. The current documentation and approvals include:

Transportation Plan	<u>Beyond Coulee Vision 2040: A Performance-based Approach to Moving</u> <u>People and Goods</u> ; approved September 16, 2020.
Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)	2021-2024 LAPC Transportation Improvement Program, approved on October 21, 2020.
Planning Work Program (PWP)	<u>2021 Final LAPC Planning Work Program</u> ; approved on November 20, 2020.
Public Participation Plan	Public Participation Plan for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee; approved on March 20, 2019.
MPO Cooperative Agreements	Intermunicipal Agreement for Metropolitan Planning Organization, 2019; WisDOT/LAPC/MTU, May 8, 2017; MnDOT/LAPC/MTU, November 15, 2017; MnDOT/LAPC/MTU, November 14, 2012.
Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary	Approved by the LAPC and MnDOT on March 20, 2013; approved by WisDOT on July 30, 2013.
Annual Listing of Obligated Projects	2020 list of obligated projects posted on the LAPC website: https://www.lacrossecounty.org/mpo.

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21. The LAPC complies with this requirement through the policies identified in the <u>Title VI Non-Discrimination Program and Limited-English Proficiency Plan</u> approved on September 20, 2017.
- 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity. The LAPC complies with this requirement through the policies identified in the <u>Title VI Non-Discrimination Program and Limited-English Proficiency Plan</u> approved on September 20, 2017 and in the <u>Public Participation Plan for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee</u> approved on March 20, 2019.
- Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act (Pub. L. 114-357) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement
 of disadvantaged business enterprises in DOT-funded projects. The LAPC will follow the WisDOT's
 federally approved DBE program when soliciting contractors to complete projects using federal
 planning funds.
- 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts. This requirement does not directly apply to the LAPC because we are not involved in federal or federal-aid highway construction contracts.

The LAPC does operate under La Crosse County's Equal Opportunity in Employment and Service Delivery.

- The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38. The LAPC complies with this requirement through the policies identified in the Public Participation Plan for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee approved on March 20, 2019. The policies and procedures are posted on our website at www.lacrossecounty.org/mpo and in the staff office at 212 6th St N, Room 2300, La Crosse, WI 54601.
- The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance. The LAPC complies with this requirement through the policies identified in the Public Participation Plan for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee approved on March 20, 2019 and through La Crosse County's policy of Equal Opportunity in Employment and Service Delivery.
- Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender. The LAPC complies with this requirement through the policies identified in the *Public Participation* Plan for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee approved on March 20, 2019 and through La Crosse County's policy of Equal Opportunity in Employment and Service Delivery.
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities. The LAPC complies with this requirement through the policies identified in the Public Participation Plan for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee approved on March 20, 2019. The policies and procedures are posted on our website at www.lacrossecounty.org/mpo and in our office at 212 6th St N, Room 2300, La Crosse, WI 54601.

Self-certification of the TIP process for MnDOT includes completing a TIP check list (Appendix B).

TIP Update Activities

Public Notification and Comment

The LAPC process for public notification of the annual TIP update and revision process is outlined in the Public Participation Plan for the La Crosse Area Planning Committee. The plan is available on the LAPC website at www.lacrossecounty.org/mpo.

The 2022-2025 TIP public notice, resolution, and a record of public comments are included in Appendix A.

General TIP Schedule

The general schedule for the annual review and adoption process for the TIP is outlined in Table 1.

The Minnesota project list was approved July 21, 2021 to coordinate with the MnDOT State TIP process. The TIP document with Wisconsin and Minnesota project lists was approved on November 17, 2021.

TABLE 1: GENERAL SCHEDULE FOR TIP REVIEW AND APPROVAL

Activity	Schedule
Coordinate with MnDOT and La Crosse MTU on MN TIP project list	February - June
Wisconsin project solicitation	May-June
Public notice for 15-day public comment period for draft MN TIP	June - July
TAC and LAPC review/approve MN TIP project list	July
Coordinate with WisDOT and transit agencies on WI TIP project lists	June - August
Prepare draft TIP document	August - October
Publish notice for 15-day public comment period for draft TIP	October - November
TAC and LAPC review and adopt TIP	November
LAPC submits final TIP	November

TIP Amendment Process

Revisions to the TIP may occur between its annually scheduled updates. Revisions include administrative modifications and amendments.

Administrative modifications are revisions to the TIP that are not significant enough to require public or committee notification, review, and comment or re-demonstration of fiscal constraint. Examples include:

- Changing the implementation schedule for a project within the TIP's four-year program window.
- Changing the character of work or project limits while remaining reasonably consistent with an approved project.
- Changing source (Federal, state, local), category, or amount of funding for a project without changing the scope of work or schedule of any other project within the TIP's four-year program window.
- Adding, deleting or modifying a project in the Grouped Project List.

Amendments are project changes significant enough to require public review and comment and redemonstration of fiscal constraint. Amendments are processed through the LAPC committee structure and by WisDOT and/or MnDOT and require a 15-day public comment period. Amendments include:

- Transit projects that include the addition or deletion of a programmed project, changes to a
 programmed project's program year or funding source, or a major change in project scope or
 cost.
- Adding or deleting a project.
- Advancing a project for implementation from the illustrative list.
- Moving a project forward out of the four-year project window.
- Changing the character of work or the project limits of a project such that the current description is no longer reasonably accurate.
- Changing project funding that impacts funding for other projects, forcing any project out of the four-year window.

Project Prioritization Process

The LAPC prioritizes local projects submitted to WisDOT for funding from the Surface Transportation Program-Urban and the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP). The projects are prioritized by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and the Committee on Transit and Active Transportation (CTAT), respectively, and the ranked project lists forwarded to the LAPC Policy Board for approval and subsequent submission to the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT). Project prioritization criteria can be found on the LAPC Web site.

The process used to select projects to be programmed through the TIP is based on the ten planning factors identified in 23 CFR 350.306(b) and listed below.

- 1) Support economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.
- 2) Increase safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
- 3) Increase security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
- 4) Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight.
- 5) Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.
- Enhance integration and connectivity of the transportation system across and between modes, for people and freight.
- 7) Promote efficient system management and operation.
- 8) Emphasize preservation of the existing transportation system.
- 9) Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate storm water impacts of surface transportation.
- 10) Enhance travel and tourism.

In Minnesota, the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) District 6 Area Transportation Partnership (ATP) reviews and selects Minnesota projects for the LAPC TIP. The LAPC Executive Director is a member of the ATP.

STP-U Project Selection Policies

The LAPC Policy Board approved the following policies to ensure equitable allocation of STP-U funds, especially when a municipality requests moving funds from one project to another:

- 1. The goal is to fund STP-U projects at 80% federal and 20% local.
- 2. STP-U funds will be approved for construction only (will not be approved for funding real estate, utilities, or design).
- 3. Selection criteria will be included that awards additional points for having project design and right of way acquisition completed.

- 4. A municipality has the option of transferring STP-U funds from one STP-U-approved project to another STP-U-approved project with the following criteria:
 - a. The municipality must drop one project completely when moving funding to another approved STP-U project.
 - b. Any re-allocation of funds from one approved STP-U project to another will require action by the TAC and LAPC.
 - c. Project sponsors are limited to two substitutions per project.
- 5. If an STP-U-funded project fails to meet, or is not anticipated to meet, the schedule assigned by the municipality and/or WisDOT, and the municipality has not transferred the funds according to the policy (4.) above, the TAC may re-allocate the funding in the following order:
 - a. Allocate the funding to one or more other STP-U projects that are not funded up to 80% federal.
 - b. Allocate the funding to a new STP-U-eligible project in accordance with the LAPC project selection criteria. The new project (or project phase) must meet the STP-U cycle timeline and be funded with at least 50% federal dollars.
- 6. If the amount of STP-U funding available is greater than two years of the normal annual allocation, the funds in excess of the two years of funding will be prioritized to transit projects.
- 7. Special consideration will be given to projects in municipalities that have not received an STP-U grant in recent cycles.
- 8. Every project recommended for STP-U funding will be required to include appropriate multimodal aspects.

This page intentionally left blank.

TIP Projects Lists

The TIP includes six types of projects lists:

- The TIP Projects List (<u>Table 3</u>) lists state-funded, federally funded, and regionally significant projects programmed within the 4-year TIP timeframe.
- The TIP Grouped Projects List (<u>Table 4</u>) lists projects that are grouped under a single line item in the TIP Project List.
- The TIP <u>Illustrative Projects List</u> lists projects that are anticipated, but for which funding has not yet been identified.
- The <u>List of Projects Removed from the TIP</u> lists the status of projects that have been removed from the previous 4-year TIP.
- The Wisconsin Local Roads Improvement Program (LRIP) Open Projects Summary Report lists local road projects in the planning area. The LRIP list is located on the Wisconsin DOT website.
- The <u>List of Annual Obligated Projects</u> lists projects for which state and federal funding are obligated. The list is also posted on the <u>LAPC website</u>.

TIP Projects List

The TIP Projects List includes a variety of information about each project as explained below:

Project Number: Each project is assigned a unique number in the form 243-yy-###. The first part, "243," identifies the metropolitan area. The second part, "yy," identifies the year that the project entered the TIP (for example, "17" means that the project entered the TIP in 2017). The third part, "###" is a sequential number of the project within a TIP year.

State ID Number: The project number(s) assigned by WisDot and MnDOT.

Sponsoring Agency: The state or local unit of government initiating the project.

Project Description: The project description provides sufficient detail to identify the project or phase, including the project's jurisdiction, facility name, length, specific geographical limits, proposed improvement(s), year(s) of funding obligations, and year(s) of anticipated design, right-of-way, and construction as applicable.

Project Type: Identifies the type of work that will be accomplished for each project. The terms used in the project list include:

- Sys Pres (System Preservation)
- Pave Rep (Pavement Replacement)
- Re Cond (Reconditioning)
- Re Const (Reconstruction)
- Major(E) (Major Expansion)
- Br Rehab (Bridge Rehabilitation)
- Br Repl (Bridge Replacement)
- Rail
- Safety
- Transit
- Other

Project Costs: Project costs are listed in thousands (x \$1,000) by project component: preliminary engineering (PE), right-of-way purchase (ROW or RW), utilities (UTL), construction (CONST), planning study or other transportation related activity (PLAN), operating assistance (OA), capital expense (CAPT).

Source of Funds: Project funding is listed as a FAST Act code as shown in Table 2. Because some projects are funded from a variety of sources, the major source is listed for each project.

TABLE 2: PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

FUNDING SOURCE*	CODE
Federal Highway Programs	
National Highway Performance Program	NHPP
Surface Transportation Block Grant Program	STBG
Transportation Alternatives Program	TAP
Highway Safety Improvement Program	HSIP
Federal Lands Access Program	FLAP
Federal Emergency Relief (Flooding)	ER
Federal Transit Programs	
Urbanized Area Formula Grant	5307
Bus and Bus Facilities Program	5339
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities	5310
Rural Area Formula Grants	5311
State and Local Funding	
Major Highway Projects (Wisconsin)	MAJOR
Wisconsin or Minnesota State Funds (includes transit funds)	SF
Municipality Local Funds	LF

TIP Grouped Projects List

The Grouped Projects List (Table 4) includes projects that are grouped under a single project number in the TIP Project List. These projects have little to no environmental impact, are not regionally significant, and, and can be processed quickly through an administrative modification. Grouped project types currently include bridge maintenance, emergency repair, and existing TAP projects. New TAP projects will be included in the main TIP projects list. Existing grouped TAP projects will remain in the grouped projects list, which will be phased out after all group-listed TAP projects are completed.

TABLE 3: 2022-2025 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECT LIST

	r 17, 2021		rovement Program Project List				20	022 (x\$10	000)			2	023 (x\$1	000)			20	024 (x\$10	000)			20	025 (x\$10	000)		†
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	wi	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
243-22-005		State of			PE																					
243-23-005 243-24-005		Wisconsin /	SW Region/District 6 Bridge Maintenance Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on LaCrosseCounty.org/MPO	Br Rehab	ROW																					NHPP
243-25-005		Minnesota			Total																					
243-22-010 243-23-010		Various	SW Region/District 6 Transportation Alternatives Program		PE ROW	138.0		<u> </u>	34.5	172.5	138.0			34.5	172.5		De	sign Contin	ued							TAP
243-24-010		Municipalities	Project Group - See Grouped Project Listing on LaCrosseCounty.org/MPO	Other	Const	1,075.2			268.8	1,344.0	0.0			0.0	0.0											
243-25-010					Total	1,213.2			303.3	1,516.5	138.0			34.5	172.5											
243-22-011 243-23-011		State of	SW Region/District 6 Emergency Repair Project Group -	ER	Non infr. ROW				_																	ER
243-24-011 243-25-011		Wisconsin / Minnesota	See Grouped Project Listing on LaCrosseCounty.org/MPO	ER	Infr.																					1
243*25*011					Total PE		De	sign Contin	ued			Dr	sign Contir	ued												
	1070-04-34,	State of	IH 90, Black River Bridges, Round Lake Bridges, Bainbridge Ped Bridge, Concrete Overlays B-32-34, 35, 46, 47 and	Sys Pres / Br	ROW																					NHPP
243-19-020	64	Wisconsin	Bridge Replacement B-32-73. Design Obligated in 2019,	Repl	Const				_		2,947.9	327.5			3,275.4		Const	ruction Cor	tinued							1
			Construction Obligated in 2023, Construction in 2024		Total						2,947.9	327.5			3,275.4											
			IH 90, CTH BW, CTH B and STH 157 EB Bridges (B-32-51,		PE																					
243-19-021	1070-04-33, 63	State of Wisconsin	52, 55) Thin Polymer Overlays. Design Obligated in 2019,	Sys Pres	ROW		Const	truction Cor	tinued																	8F
			Construction obligated in 2021, Construction in 2022		Total																					
	1074-00-31,	State of	IH 90 (West Salem to Sparta) Concrete Bridge Overlays of		PE ROW		De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contir	ued			De	sign Contin	ped			De	sign Contin	ued		NHPP
243-20-027	61	Wisconsin	CTH C (B-32-57), Fish Creek (B-32-63), STH 27 (B-41-123). Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2027	Sys Pres	Const																					MALE
			ocaginational in 2021, contaction ratiopated in 2021		Total																					
	1071-07-	State of	IH-90, STH 16 to CTH C, Pavement & Bridge	Pav Rep / Br	PE ROW		Des	signed Cont	inued			De	sign Contin	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		NHPP
243-18-017	06,76,96	Wisconsin	Replacements (B-32-23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28), Design Anticipated 2021, Construction Anticipated 2030	Rep	Const																					MALE
			rentgates 2021, constitution rentgates 2020		Total																					
	1074-00-02,	State of	IH-90, CTH C to East County Line, Resurface, Design		PE ROW									1												SF.
243-19-015	72	Wisconsin	Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2021	Sys Pres	Const		Const	truction Cor	tinued																	j ~
					Total		_					_					_					_				
	1071-08-04,	State of	IH 90, STH 16 Interchange Area. (S Kinney Coulee Rd to CTH OS) interchange improvements. Bridge replacments B-	Re Cond / Br	PE ROW		De	esign Contin	uea			De	sign Contir	nuea			De	sign Contin	uea			De	sign Contin	uea		NHPP
243-13-011	74	Wisconsin	32-0053, -0054. Design anticipated in 2021. Construction	Repl	Const																					
			anticipated in 2030		Total							_										_				
	1070-04-	State of	IH-90, STH 157 Interchange Reconstruction, Design		PE ROW	788.4	87.6			876.0		De	sign Conti	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		NHPP
243-18-018	03,73	Wisconsin	Anticipated 2022, Construction Anticipated 2030	Re Const	Const																					
					Total	788.4	87.6		L	876.0							_					_				
		State of	USH 53, USH 14/61 - IH 90, Reconstruction, (TIP # 243-06-		PE ROW		De	esign Contin	ued			De	sign Contir	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		NHPP
243-06-012	1630-08-00	Wisconsin	013 for all ROW, Utilities, Construction)	Major(E)	Const																					MAJOR
					Total			nine Castin					des Costi				-	ries Castin					alaa Caatia			
	1630-08-21, 23, 25, 27, 40,				PE RW/UTL		De	esign Contin	uea			De	sign Contir	lued			De	sign Contin	ueu			De	sign Contin	uea		NHPP
243-06-013	44, 46, 48, 70,	State of	USH 53, USH 14/61 - IH 90, Reconstruction, (ROW,	Major(E)	Const																					MAJOR
	71, 75, 77, 80, 81, 84, 86, 88,	Wisconsin	Utilities, Construction Anticipated after 2030)																							
	89				Total																					
	1630-03-01,	State of	USH 53, Black River Bridge B-32-0079, Bridge		PE																					NHPP
243-17-013	61	Wisconsin	Rehabilitation. Design obligation in 2017, Construction obligation in 2021	Sys Pres	ROW Const		Const	truction Cor	tinued																	NHPP
			Congation in 2021		Total																					
	5250-06-02,	State of	USH 53, City of La Crosse, Third Street (Cass Street to 2nd		PE ROW		De	esign Contin	ued			De	sign Contir	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		NHPP
243-20-016	72, 74	Wisconsin	Street), Pavement Replacement, Design Obligated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2026-2027	Sys Pres	Const																					NAFE
			Constitution Participated in 2020-2027		Total																					
	5250-06-03,	State of	USH 53, City of La Crosse, Fourth Street (Cass Street to		ROW		De	esign Contin	ued			De	sign Contir	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		NHPP
243-20-028	73, 75	Wisconsin	2nd Street), Pavement Replacement, Design Obligated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2027/2028	Sys Pres	Const																					3000
					Total																					
	1640-01-05,	State of	USH 14, La Crosse - Westby (Marion Road to Gamer		PE ROW		De	esign Contin	ued			De	sign Conti	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			_				NHPP
243-20-031	75	Wisconsin	Place), Mill & Overlay, Design obligated 2020, Construction scheduled for 2024-2025	Sys Pres	Const											488.0	122.0			610.0		Const	ruction Cor	ithued		1
					Total											488.0				610.0						
	1640-03-31,	State of	USH 14, Brickyard Lane - CTH M, MII & Overlay, Design		PE ROW			 	 									<u> </u>								NHPP
243-18-015	61	Wisconsin	obligated 2017, Construction obligated in 2021, with construction in 2021-2022.	Sys Pres	Const		Const	truction Cor	ntinued																	1
					Total																					
	1641-02-02,	Otato of	USH 14, City of La Crosse, South Avenue; Green Bay St. to Ward Ave., Reconstruct the roadway and Improve the		PE ROW			OW Contin																		HSIP
243-13-015	22, 42, 53, 54, 72, 82, 3700-	State of Wisconsin	intersections, including traffic signal rehab at Green Bay St.	Re Const	Const	8,605.1	1,840.5			10,940.6		Cons	truction Co	ntinued												NHPP
	10-79		Design obligated 2013, ROW in 2019-2021, Construction in 2022		Total	8,605.1	1,840.5		495.0	10,940.6																ITS
			USH 14, Cameron Ave & Cass St Structures B-32-202 & -		PE	-,		sign Contin		,		De	sign Contir	nued			De	sign Contin	ued							
243-19-022	5200-03-33,	State of	300, Paint and Repair. Design Obligated in 2019,	Sys Pres	ROW											2000								- Income		NHPP
	63	Wisconsin	Construction Anticipated 2024-2025		Const			-						-		2,016.0				2,520.0		Const	truction Cor	tinued		1
			STH 35, Genoa - La Crosse (Village of Stoddard North Limit		PE											_,,,,,,,,,,	22.0									
242 42 524	5160-06-00,	State of	to North Vernon County Line, MIII & Overlay, Design Obligated in 2018, Construction Obligated for 2021 with	Sys Pres	ROW Const																					NHPP
243-18-024	70	Wisconsin						truction Cor																		

	er 17, 2021	tadon imp	rovement Program Project List			2022 (x\$1000)						2	023 (x\$1	000)			2	024 (x\$1	000)			2	025 (x\$1	000)		†
Project	State ID	Sponsoring	Project Description	Project Type	Exp.	Fed	wı	MN	Local/	Total	Fed	wı	MN	Local /	Total	Fed	wı	MN	Local /	Total	Fed	wı	MN	Local /	Total	Source o
Number	Number	Agency		110,0011,750	Type		•••		Other			•••		Other	10.01				Other			•••		Other	10.0.	Funds
	5163-07-02,	State of	STH 35, La Crosse County Line to Garner Place, Reconstruct STH 35 / USH 14/61 Intersection. Design		ROW		_																			NHPP
243-14-026	20, 24, 42, 52, 53, 72, 77	Wisconsin	obligated in 2014. North half (-77) -ROW 2020, Construction 2021-2022. South half (-72) -ROW 2020, Construction 2024-	Re Const	Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued							4,856.1	1,204.8		9.2	6,070.1		Cons	truction Cor	ntnued		1
			2025 (Advanceable to 2022)		Total											4,856.1	1,204.8		9.2	6,070.1						
			STH 16, (La Crosse Street, City of La Crosse), Oakland St.		PE			sign Conti																		
243-11-024	7575-07-03, 23, 73, 83	State of Wisconsin	to Losey Blvd., Patch and overlay, Design obligated 2011. Construction in 2022.	Sys Pres	ROW	1,984.5		OW Contin	ued 3,533.8	5,768.6																HSIP NHPP
			Constitution in 2022.		Total	1,984.5	250.3		3,533.8	5,768.6		_														1
			STH 16, Gillette St. to STH 157. Bridge and Approach		ROW		2,000.0	1	 	2,000.0		Di	esign Contin	lued		_	De	sign Contir	lued			D	sign Contin	nued		NHPP
243-11-028	7575-01-01, 05, 80	State of Wisconsin	Reconstruction, Preliminary Design Obligated in 2013, Final Design (Environmental Document to PS&E for bridges B-32-	BR Repl	Const																22,000.0	5,500.0			27,500.0	4
	05, 60	Wisconsin	0111 and B-32-0115), Final Design to begin in 2022. (Construction obligated in 2025, Construction in 2026)																							
			•		Total PE	_	2,000.0	esign Conti		2,000.0			esign Contin				D.	sign Contir			22,000.0	5,500.0			27,500.0	4
243-19-017	7575-01-35,	State of	STH 16, Medary Overpass Structures B-32-111 & 115, Concrete Overlay, Paint, Repair. Design Obligated in 2019,	Sys Pres	ROW			sign Contr	lueu .				esign Contin	iueu					iueu							NHPP
243 13 011	65	Wisconsin	Construction Obligated in 2024 with Construction in 2025	- Cyst ics	Const				-							2,240.0	560.0 560.0			2,800.0		Cons	truction Cor	ntinued		4
			STH 16, Braund Street to CTH OS, Monotubes and Left		PE											2,240.0	560.0			2,000.0						
243-18-019	7575-00-01, 71	State of Wisconsin	Turn Lane Improvements, Design Obligated in 2018,	Sys Pres	ROW			truction Co	- Herrie d																	HSIP
		***************************************	Construction LET in 2020 with actual construction in 2021		Total		Cons	a decisión do	liunaeu																	1
	7570-05-24	Otata of	STH 16, La Crosse - Sparta (0.27 Miles East of La Crosse River to Big Creek), Mill & Overlay, Design Obligated in		PE																					-
243-18-025	7570-05-34, 64	State of Wisconsin	2018, Construction Obligated for 2021 with Construction in	Sys Pres	ROW		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	STBG
			2022		Total PE			sign Conti					esign Contin					sign Contir								1
243-20-029	7570-05-00,	State of	STH 16 (La Crosse to Sparta), CTH M Intersection (Monotubes and Left-Turn Lanes), Design Obligated in 2020,	Sys Pres	ROW			gr. com	-				- Sun Contin													HSIP
	70	Wisconsin	Construction Anticipated in 2024		Const				_							590.4 590.4	66.3 66.3			656.7 656.7						1
		State of	8TH 16, La Crosse - Sparta (8TH 16/IH 90 Interchange		PE ROW																					птв
243-20-014	3700-10-83	Wisconsin	EB/WB Ramps). Monotube Installation. Construction in 2020.	Sys Pres	Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	1 ""
			STH 16, La Crosse – Sparta (Losey Blvd to South Kinney		Total PE		De	sign Conti	nued			De	esign Contin	ued		_	De	sign Contir	ued							-
243-19-034	7575-01-06, 26, 76	State of Wisconsin	Coulee Rd). Repair, Mill and Overlay, Design Obligated in 2020 and Construction Obligated in 2024 with Construction	Sys Pres	ROW		48.4			48.4			OW Continu			3,811.4		OW Contin		4,764.2		Con	truction Cor	ntinued		NHPP
	26,76	Wisconsin	In 2025		Total		48.4			48.4						3,811.4				4,764.2		Cons	auction Co.	ntnueu		1
		State of	STH 16, City of La Crosse (STH 16 & Gillette St), Traffic		PE ROW																					ITS
243-20-019	3700-10-87	Wisconsin	Signals & Monotubes, Construction in 2020/2021	Sys Pres	Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	1
					Total PE																					
243-20-020	3700-10-88	State of Wisconsin	STH 16, City of La Crosse (Various Highways/Intersections), Signals Cabinets & Equipment, Construction in 2020/2021	Sys Pres	ROW	_	Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	ITS
					Total								<u> </u>	L,					L.							1
243-19-035	7140-00-02,	State of	STH 35, La Crosse - Trempealeau (Black River Bridges B- 32-016 and B-32-018).Replacement, Design Obligated in	Br Repl	ROW		De	sign Conti	nued			Di	esign Contin	lued			De	sign Contir	lued							STBG
243-15-033	82	Wisconsin	2020 and Constructed in 2025-2026	D. 110p.	Const				_												7,335.4 7,335.4				9,169.3 9,169.3	
			STH 33. Jackson St. City of La Crosse, 3rd St. to 23th St.		PE																1,222.4	1,000.0			2,102.2	
243-11-012	5120-03- 02,22,72	State of Wisconsin	Surface (1.67 ml.)(Design obligated in 2011) Construction obligated in 2021	Sys Pres	ROW		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	NHPP
			obligated in ZdZ 1		Total PE	_		esign Conti				_	esign Contin			_		sign Contir					_			₩
243-21-002	1630-03-00,	State of	USH 53, La Crosse - Galesville (B-32-131, 132, 135, 136, 139, 140), Bridge Rehabilitations, Design Anticipated in	Sys Pres	ROW		De	esign Conti	lued			Di	esign Contin	iuea			De	sign Conti	luea							NHPP
24321002	80	Wisconsin	2021, Construction Anticipated in 2024	OJS FIES	Const											533.6 533.6	133.4 133.4			667.0 667.0						4
			STH 33 (La Crosse to Cashton), Forest Ridge Dr - Kirschner		PE		De	sign Conti	nued			De	esign Contin	ued		533.6		sign Contir	ued	557.0		D	sign Contin	nued		_
243-20-030	5120-05-04, 74	State of Wisconsin	Rd, Resurface, Design Obligated in 2020, Construction	Sys Pres	ROW																					STBG
		Wisconsin	Anticipated in 2026/2027		Total																					1
			STH 108 - West Salem - Melrose, Old 16 Road to North		PE			sign Conti				_	esign Contin				_	sign Conti					sign Contin			
243-19-023	7930-08-01, 21, 71	State of Wisconsin	County Line, Pavement Replacement, (except Stan Olson Rd to L Pfaff Rd) includes Bridge Rehab B-32-0181. Design	Sys Pres	ROW	_	R	OW Contin	ued			R	OW Continu	ued		_	R	OW Contin	ued			R	OW Contin	ued		STBG
	21,71	Wisconsin	Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2026, Construction in 2027, Advanceable to 2023.		Total																					1
			-		PE				 			_														-
243-19-012	7048-00-00, 80	State of Wisconsin	CTH C, IH 90 - STH 16 (CTH B & CP RR Bridge B-32- 0069(84.10)), Bridge Rehab, Design Obligated in 2019,	Sys Pres	ROW		_																			STBG
		Wisconsin	Construction Obligated for 2021; Construction in 2022.		Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	1
	5120-02-02,	State of	STH 33, City of La Crosse, State Road & Losey Boulevard		PE ROW		De	sign Conti	nued			Di	esign Contin	ued			De	sign Conti	ued			D	sign Contin	nued		HSIP
243-21-012	72	Wisconsin	Intersection, Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction Anticipated in 2025-2026	Sys Pres	Const																1,190.1	132.3			1,322.4	1
			CTH SN, CTH OT to Alpine Lane. Reconst, 2-phase: CTH		Total PE																1,190.1	132.3			1,322.4	1
243-14-020	7067-00-00,	La Crosse	OT to Hanson Rd, Incl. Br Repi P-32-127, Const obligated in		ROW		Conn	truction Co	ntinued																	STBG
	70, 71	County	2018, Const in 2019. Hanson Rd. to Alpine Lane, Const Obligated in 2021	Repl	Total		Jones																			1
			CTH M, STH 33 - I90 (CTH O to CTH B), Recondition.		PE																					1
243-15-014	5436-00-01,71	La Crosse	Design obligated in 2014. Two-Phase Project CTH O to Wolter Rd, Construction Obligated in 2021, Construction in	Re Cond	ROW Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	STBG
	3,000	County	2022. Wolter Rd to CTH B not Currently																							1
			Scheduled/Obligated.		Total PE				-	_	_	 			 			_			\vdash		_			+
		La Crosse	CTH GI, Goose Island Campground, Recondition CTH GI,	Pav Rep	ROW																					FLAP
243-16-012	5085-00-00, 70	County	Design obligated 2016, Construction obligated in 2019 with	Pay Reb	Const			truction Co																		

	r 17, 2021	rtation imp	rovement Program Project List				20	022 (x\$1	000)			20	023 (x\$10	000)			20	024 (x\$1	000)			2	025 (x\$1	000)		+
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	wi	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
		La Crosse	CTH B, Town of Campbell - City of La Crosse (Clinton St to		PE ROW		De	sign Conti	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		LF
243-20-017	5347-00-00	County	Lakeshore Dr), Reconstruct, 100% Locally funded Design, Obligated in 2020, Potential BUILD-TIGER grant or STBG	Reconst	Const																					1
			project for Construction.		Total PE																					─
243-20-021	7323-00-00,	La Crosse	CTH M, Towns of Onalaska & Farmington (Haffway Creek Bridge P-32-0100), Bridge Replacement, Design Obligated	Br Repl	ROW																					STBG
	70	County	In 2020, Construction Obligated in 2021.		Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued												_					1
	7049-00-00,	La Crosse	CTH D, Towns of Onalaska & Farmington (Halfway Creek		PE ROW		Des	igned Cont	tinued																	STBG
243-20-022	70	County	Bridge P-32-0055), Bridge Replacement, Design Obligated in 2020, with Construction in 2022.	Br Repi	Const	459.5			273.0																	1 """
			CTH M, STH 16 - CTH S (La Crosse River Bridge B-32-		Total PE	459.5	De	sign Conti	273.0 nued	732.5		De	sign Contin	ued												_
243-20-023	7323-00-01, 71	La Crosse County	0004), Bridge Replacement, Design Obligated in 2021, with Construction Obligated in 2023 (Actual Construction in	Br Repl	ROW						2,090.7			986.9	3,077.6		Cons	truction Cor	atiqued							STBG
		County	2024).		Total						2,090.7			986.9	3,077.6											1
	5001-03-51		East Avenue, City of Onalaska, Riders Club Road		PE ROW		De	sign Conti	nued			De	sign Contin	ued												HSIP
243-21-013	5991-02-61, 62	City of Onalaska	Intersection, Design Obligated in 2021, Construction Obligated in 2023	Sys Pres	Const						286.6			45.6	332.2											1
					Total PE						286.6			45.6	332.2											₩
243-19-024	5991-07-42,	City of La	Gliette St, Caledonia St to STH 35/George St, Reconstruct, Design Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2021,	Reconst	ROW																					STBG
	43, 44	Crosse	with Construction in 2021		Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued					_		_		_			_		_			1
	5991-07-36,	City of La	Green Bay St, East Ave to S 22nd St, Reconstruct, Design		PE ROW																					зтво
243-19-025	37, 38	Crosse	Obligated in 2019, Construction Obligated in 2021, with Construction in 2022.	Reconst	Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	1 0180
					Total PE		De	sign Conti	nued					_		_	-	_			_		_			+
243-19-026	1641-03-04,	City of La	Various Intersection Improvements USH 14, STH 16, STH 33, Left Turn Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019	Sys Pres	ROW			OW Contin																		HSIP
	25	Crosse	(see 1641-03-75, 5120-02-70, 7575-07-70 for Construction).	-	Total																					1
			USH 14/61, Mormon Coulee Rd/Broadview Pt Intersection.		PE																					
243-19-027	1641-03-75	City of La Crosse	Left Turn Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019 (see	Sys Pres	ROW		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	HSIP
		0.0310	1641-03-04), Construction Obligated in 2021.		Total																					1
		City of La	STH 33/35, West Ave/Jackson St Intersection, Left Turn		PE ROW																					HSIP
243-19-028	5120-02-70	Crosse	Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019 (see 1641-03- 04), Construction Obligated in 2021.	Sys Pres	Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	
			STH 16/35, West Ave/La Crosse St Intersection, Left Turn		Total PE																					
243-19-029	7575-07-70	City of La Crosse	Lanes/Monotubes, Design Obligated in 2019 (see 1641-03-	Sys Pres	ROW	432.9			139.8	572.7																HSIP
		0.0220	84), Construction Obligated in 2022.		Total	432.9			139.8																	1
243-19-030	5220-04-04,	City of La	STH 35, West Ave/King St & West Ave/Badger St Intersections, Close Medians & Add Crosswalks, Design	Sys Pres	ROW																					HSIP
243 15 030	24, 74	Crosse	Obligated in 2020, Construction in 2021.	Ojarica	Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	-
					PE																					
243-21-014	1062-08-80	State of Wisconsin	Various Highways, Vernon County Bridges - Approach Slab Repair, Construction Obligated in 2021, Construction in 2022	Sys Pres	ROW		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	8F
					Total PE																					─
243-21-015	1032-08-80	State of	Various Highways, La Crosse County Bridges - Approach Slab Repair, Construction Obligated in 2021, Construction in	Sys Pres	ROW																					1
		Wisconsin	2022		Const		Cons	truction Co	ntinued								<u> </u>									3F
		La Crosse	CTH M, CTH I - CTH O, Reconstruct. Design Anticipated in		PE ROW		De	sign Conti	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		-
243-21-016	5436-00-06	County	2021. Construction not Currently Scheduled/Obligated	Reconst	Const																					LF
					Total PE		De	sign Contin	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	nued		\pm
243-21-017	5436-00-07	La Crosse	CTH M, CTH I - CTH YY, Bridge Replacement of B-32-007. Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction not Currently	Br Repl	ROW]
		County	Scheduled/Obligated		Const																					LF
			Mohican Trail, Town of Onalaska, Bridge Replacement of P-		PE		De	sign Conti	nued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued		
243-21-018	7272-00-02	La Crosse County	32-923, Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction not Currently Scheduled/Obligated	Br Repl	ROW				+								<u> </u>									LF
			Currently achequeurousgateu		Total PE																					├──
243-21-019	3700-10-89	State of	Various Highways, City of La Crosse (Various Highways/Intersections), Signals Cabinets & Equipment,	Sys Pres	ROW																					1
	3700 10 03	Wisconsin	Construction in 2021/2022/2023	-,	Const		Cons	ruction Co	ntinued			Cons	truction Cor	tinued												ITS
			USH 53, La Crosse County Wide (IH 90 - STH 35), Bridges -		PE				1																	
243-21-020	1630-00-81	State of Wisconsin	Approach Slab Repair, Construction Obligated in 2021, Construction in 2022	Sys Pres	ROW		Cons	truction Co	ntinued																	8F
					Total PE			sign Conti				De	sign Contin	ued			De	sign Contin	ued			D	sign Contin	ued		─
243-21-021	1071-01-02,	State of	IH 90, La Crosse - West Salem (Theater Road to CTH C), Resurface, Design Anticipated in 2021, Construction	Sys Pres	ROW		De	July Conti				- 00	agii ounan				- 00	agri contr	-				July Contr			1
	82	Wisconsin	Anticipated in 2027		Const																					NHPP
	1000-74-00,	State of	Railroad Crossing Improvement, TCMC Intercity Passenger		PE	3453		sign Conti	nued	408.7			sign Contin											1		Rail
243-21-022	20, 40, 50, 51, 70, 71, 72	State of Wisconsin	Rail Grant, La Crosse - St. Paul (2nd Round-trip), Design Obligated in 2021, ROW Obligated in 2021-2022,	Rall	ROW Const	245.2	163.5				28,676.8	5,619.3		5,000.0	47,794.6		Cons	truction Cor	ntinued			Cons	truction Cor	ntinued		Rall Safety
			Construction Obligated in 2023 (const. until 2026)		Total PE	245.2	163.5			408.7	28,676.8	5,619.3	8,498.5	5,000.0	47,794.6											+
243-21-023	5991-05-52	State of	Town of Shelby, Losey Boulevard (BNSF RR Xing	Rall	ROW						470.0			470	303.0											Rall
	I	Wisconsin	0798273), Signal Replacement, Construction in 2023	I	Const	\vdash			+		176.8 176.8			176.7 176.7			+		_		_			-		Safety

		rtation Imp	rovement Program Project List																							
Novembe							20	22 (x\$1	000)			20	023 (x\$1	000)			20	024 (x\$1	000)			2	025 (x\$1	000)		
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
MINNESOTA F	ROJECT8							2022	2				2023	3				2024					2026	5		
	TRF-3780-22,	City of La			PE OA	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0			263.0	375.0	5307
243-09-015	23, 24, 25	Crescent	SECT 5307: La Crescent Transit Operating Assistance	Transit	CAPT																					
					TOTAL	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0			263.0	375.0	112.0			263.0	375.0	<u> </u>
WISCONSIN T	RANSIT PROJE	ECT8						2022					2023					2024					2026			
		La Crosse			PE OA		293.8		58.8	352.6		293.8		58.8	352.6		293.8		58.8	352.6		293.8	1	58.8	352.6	8F
243-03-037		County	MiniBus, Volunteer Driver	Transit	CAPT																					
					TOTAL		293.8		58.8	352.6		293.8		58.8	352.6		293.8		58.8	352.6		293.8		58.8	352.6	
243-03-039		City of LaCrosse	MTU Operating Assistance	Transit	CAPT	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	5307
		Luciossc			TOTAL	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	2,019.6	1,437.9		3,246.6	6,704.1	1
		City of	MTU In-Ground and Movable Wheel Engaging Vehicle		PE OA									-	-										 '	5307
243-20-035		LaCrosse	Hoists	Transit	CAPT			Continued	1																	
					TOTAL PE				 					+	 	_							_	 	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	
243-21-001		City of La Crosse	Two (2) Replacement Fixed-Route Low-Floor Diesel-Electric Hybrid Buses. (The Local Share will be paid over 10 years	Transit	OA			- "																		vw
		Crosse	through shared revenue reduction.)		TOTAL			Continued																		1
		City of La	MTU Low- or No-Emission - 2 Electric Buses, 2 Charging Stations, infrastructure on Electric Grid; funds obligated in		PE OA				-						_									-		5339(c)
243-19-019		Crosse	2019; capital will be acquired in 2021 due to delays created	Transit	CAPT			Continued	1																	5335(C)
			by COVID-19		TOTAL PE																				 '	
243-19-032	5991-02-60	City of Onalaska	Transit Vans, OHWS Public Transit, 5 Transit Vans,	Transit	OA																					5339(c)
			Obligated in 2019		TOTAL			Continued	1					_	_	_						_		-	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	1
			Validad and Consulted Mahilla Management (METAE)		PE																					
243-22-012		Couleecap	Vehicle Loans, Operating, Mobility Management (WETAP). Crawford, La Crosse, and Vemon Counties	Transit	CAPT	10.0 10.0	17.8 16.9		27.8 6.7	55.7 33.7	-			+	 									 		5307 85.24
					TOTAL	20.0	34.8		34.6	89.3																-
243-22-013		Vemon County	Mobility Management, Volunteer Driver Program and Vernon County Mini Bus. Serves locations in La Crosse	Transit	OA	10.6			10.6	21.2																5310
			Area		TOTAL	10.6			10.6	21.2				+	-									-	 '	-
		Vemon Area			PE																					
243-22-014		Rehabilitation Center	One (1) medium-large bus replacement vehicle.	Transit	CAPT	62.4			15.6	78.0				+	 									 	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	5310
		Center			TOTAL	62.4			15.6	78.0																├
243-22-015		Aptiv, Inc.	One (1) Bariatric Van. Serves La Crosse, Monroe, Jackson,	Transit	OA																					5310
			and Walworth Counties		TOTAL	41.6 41.6			10.4		-			_	 	_			-			 	-	 	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	1
					PE																					
243-22-016		Monroe County	One (1) Bariatric Van. Serves La Crosse and Monroe Counties	Transit	CAPT	41.6			10.4	52.0																5310
					TOTAL	41.6			10.4	52.0				-	-											├
243-20-013		La Crosse	Two battery electric cutaway buses and associated infrastructure equipment for Scenic Mississippi Regional	Transit	OA																					vw
243 20 013		County	Transit (SMRT) service	Trumsi.	TOTAL			Continued	1																 '	-
					PE																					
243-20-024	5991-02-01	City of Onalaska	Four (4) Transit Vans, Obligation in 2020	Transit	CAPT			Continued	1					_	_	_						_		-	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	STBG
					TOTAL																					↓
243-20-026		La Crosse	One (1) Standard 30-ft Bus Replacement, Obligation in	Transit	PE OA																					5339
242 20 020		County	2022.	- ansi.	CAPT	240.0 240.0			60.0 60.0																	-
					PE																					
243-13-013		La Crosse County	Scenic Mississippi Regional Transit (SMRT) La Crosse, Crawford, Monroe, and Vernon Counties	Transit	CAPT	237.5	60.0		177.5	475.0																5311
			and the same of th		TOTAL	237.5	60.0		177.5	475.0																
	11.12.15		Onalaska / Holmen / West Salem Public Transit. Operating		PE OA	296.3	189.5		197.5	683.3				\vdash	-	 			-	-		 	_	 	 '	5307
243-03-043	11.93.02	City of Onalaska	Assistance, Additional vans (2017 - 2022)	Transit	CAPT																					1
		L	l .		TOTAL	296.3	189.5		197.5	683.3	4		<u> </u>				L									

TABLE 4: 2022-2025 TIP GROUPED PROJECT LIST

Table 4:	2022 - 2025 TI	P Grouped	l Project List																							
November	17, 2021							2022	(x\$1000)				2023	(x\$1000)				2024	(x\$1000)				2025	(x\$1000)		
Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsor-ing Agency	Project Description	Project Type	Exp. Type	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Fed	WI	MN	Local / Other	Total	Source of Funds
	SW R	egion/District 6	Bridge Maintenance Project Group																							
	SW Regio	n/District 6 Loca	al Emergency Relief (EF) Project Group																							
		T	All																							
		Transportat	ion Alternatives Program	1																						
			Non-Infrastructure, La Crosse County. Equipment;		PE			Continued					Continued	1				Continued					Continued			
	1009-00-67, 73 1009- La C	La Crosse	Education, Encouragement, Enforcement and		ROW			Continued					Continued					Continued					Continued			
243-11-010	01-03,04	County	Evaluation Activities. Continuation of County	Other	Const																					TAP
		,	Coordinator		Total																					
			Markings Tool (C. Kinner, Contac Dd. Landell Dd)		PE																					
243-21-010	5991-08-03, 73	La Crosse	Multi-use Trail (S. Kinney Coulee Rd - Landfill Rd), Design obligated in 2021, Construction obligated in	Other	ROW																					TAP
243-21-010	3551-00-03, 73	County	2022 with construction in 2023	Outer	Const	483.8			121.0	604.8		Const	truction Con	tinued												IAI
			2022 Wild Corload dedoff III 2025		Total	483.8			121.0	604.8																
			Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridge (CTH VP in Veteran's		PE																					
243-21-010	5991-08-04, 74	La Crosse	Park), Design obligated in 2021, Construction	Other	ROW																					TAP
		obligated in 2022 with construction in 2023		Const	591.4			147.8	739.2		Const	truction Con	tinued													
					Total	591.4 138.0			147.8	739.2	420.0			34.5	470.5											
		Non-Infrastructure, La Crosse County, SRTS,		PE ROW	138.0			34.5	172.5	138.0			34.5	172.5						-						
243-21-010	1009-01-25, 26			Other	Const																<u> </u>				TA/	TAP
		08/2024		Total	138.0			34.5	172.5	138.0			34.5	172.5										+		
	1				rotal	138.0		l	34.5	1/2.5	138.0		1	34.5	1/2.5	l	l			l	1	I	1	1	1 1	

This page intentionally left blank.

Illustrative Projects List

The illustrative projects list (Table 5) includes future projects that are being considered by area municipalities and agencies. Funding for these projects has not yet been identified or secured and they are included to give planners, decision makers, and the public an idea of future transportation improvements.

TABLE 5: ILLUSTRATIVE PROJECTS LIST

Sponsoring Agency	Description	Туре	Year	Estimated Cost
City of Onalaska	Theater Rd from CTH PH to STH 16; Reconstruct; concrete pavement (5 lanes)	Re Const	2020-2023	\$800,000
City of Onalaska	CTH OS from STH 16 to Market PI; widen to 7 lanes	Sys Pres	2024-2029	\$100,000
City of Onalaska	East Main St/Green Coulee Rd Corridor Improvements	Sys Pres	2024-2029	\$3,500,000
City of La Crosse	Wagon Wheel Trail Extension	Other	2020-2025	\$875,000
City of La Crescent	Wagon Wheel Trail Phase 4	Other	2026-2040	\$1,160,000
Town of Shelby	Mormon Coulee Greenway Trail	Other	2026-2040	\$1,800,000
City of La Crosse	Interstate 90 Corridor Shared-Use Trail	Other	2026-2040	\$28,425,600

List of Projects Removed from the TIP

Projects removed from the 2022-2025 TIP are listed in Table 6.

TABLE 6: LIST OF PROJECTS REMOVED FROM THE 2022-2025 TIP

Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Reason for Removal
243-18-013	028-606-024, 028-606-024AC	Houston County	**AC**: CSAH 6, From Winona County Line to Town Hall Road, Bituminous Reclamation / Bituminous Pavement (Need AC Payback)	Completed
243-18-014	236-090-003	City of La Crescent	La Crescent Wagon Wheel Trail Phase 3	Completed
243-20-032	TRS-3780-21	City of La Crescent	City of La Crescent; Purchase One (1) Class 400 Low Floor Bus (Replaces Unit 103)	Completed
243-17-012	1070-04-05, 25, 75	State of Wisconsin	IH 90, STH 157 Interchange resurface	Completed
243-18-011	7930-08-00, 20, 70	State of Wisconsin	STH 108 - West Salem - Melrose, Stan Olson Rd to L Pfaff Rd, Safety Improvements.	Completed
243-13-019	5346-00-00	La Crosse County	Drogseth Rd, Bostwick Creek Bridge P-32- 0903. Bridge Replacement	Closed

TABLE 6: LIST OF PROJECTS REMOVED FROM THE 2022-2025 TIP (CONTINUED)

Project Number	State ID Number	Sponsoring Agency	Project Description	Reason for Removal
243-20-033		City of La Crosse	MTU Replacement Service Vehicles, Two (2) Priuses	Completed
243-20-034		City of La Crosse	MTU Three (3) Replacement Service Vans	Completed
243-19-033		City of La Crosse	MTU purchase of one 35-ft Diesel Bus	Completed
243-19-034	VW-BUS-LACR- 01	City of La Crosse	MTU purchase of one 35-ft Clean Diesel Bus	Completed
243-19-031	5991-07-40	City of La Crosse	MTU Buses, MTU Public Transit, 3 MTU Buses	Completed
243-18-027		Couleecap	Vehicle Loans, Mobility Management	Completed
243-09-010		Vernon County	Mobility Management, Volunteer Driver Program and Vernon County Minibus	Completed
243-20-018		Vernon Area Rehabilitation Center	One (1) Medium Bus Replacement Vehicle	Completed
243-21-003		Aptiv, Inc.	One (1) Minivan, Rear-Load for Vehicle Expansion	Completed
243-21-004		Monroe County	One (1) Bariatric Van, Side-Load, Vehicle Replacement	Completed
243-21-005		Tri-State Regional Ambulance, Inc.	One (1) Minivan, Rear-Load, Vehicle Replacement	Completed
243-20-025	5991-05-00	City of La Crosse	One (1) MTU Diesel Bus	Completed
243-20-036		La Crosse County	Rehabilitation/Rebuild, Two 30-ft Buses (ADA) – SMRT	Completed

Wisconsin LRIP Open Projects County Summary Report

The Wisconsin *Local Roads Improvement Program (LRIP) Open Projects County Summary Report* includes LRIP projects within La Crosse County. LRIP is a Wisconsin state funded program that assists local governments with improving seriously deteriorating county highways, town roads, and city and village streets.

The LRIP program is administered by the La Crosse County Highway Department and is not subject to approval by the LAPC. Please refer to the WisDOT website:

https://wisconsindot.gov/Documents/doing-bus/local-gov/astnce-pgms/highway/lrip/open-county.pdf.

List of Annual Obligated Projects

The LAPC maintains a list of projects for the La Crosse and La Crescent area for which state and federal funding are obligated each year. This list is included in Table 7 and is also posted on the LAPC website.

Table 7: List of 2020 Annual Obligated Projects

LAPC MPO Planning Area - 2020 Obligated Projects

Shared ride operating sessistance, captal assistance br the purchase of four shared ride vines, and COVID related expenses. Annual operating assistant MTULa Crescent Apple Express that provides sarvithe Clty of La Crescent. 192,309.67 287,160.00 2,124,347.00 280,640.00 315,345,78 33,960.00 25,800.00 1,788,746,70 103,428,22 336,802.25 Award Date 249,133.00 nstrudi Date Non-FTA Amount FTA Amount SURFACE TRANS BLOCK-GHWAY SAFETY IMP ROG FAST BRIDGE 89% ONDIFF LUEXT Y185339 Captal - La rescent@us Shelters LIDICK GRTS-PLEX COF LACROSSE, GILLETTE STREET
COF LACRODINA TO GEORGE ST (STRUS)
CONST OPSIRECONSTRUCTION LETCONTRACT VA BD LETTING
CONTRACT VA BD LETTING
DRIVE TO CTH VA PELMILL AND OVERLAY WE PA
INHOUSE DELIVERY PRELIM OR CONST WEST SALEN-MEGORE STAN OLSON
WEST SALEN-MEGORE STAN OLSON
SUFFER PLOACONSTY PROTION
CONSTITUTION
SUFFER PLOACONSTY LA CROSSE - WESTBY BRICKY ARD LANE TO N
TOTH M CONSTITUTION.
CONTRACT VM. BD. LETTING
CONTRACT VM. BD. LETTING
GE. CONSTITUTION
GE. CONSTITUTION
TO CONSTITUTI ENGNEBRING)
VILLAGE OF HOLMBY, CTH SN HANSON
ROAD TO ALPINE LANE CONST
OP SPECONDITION LET - CONTRACT WA BID SURFIPAVE SHLDRS/BG LET - CONTRACT LA CROSSE - SPARTA BRAUND ST TO C OS CONSTAMONOTUBES LEFT LANE IMPRIMANT LET - CONTRACT VA. BID LETTING
T OF ONALASKA - TOF FARMINGTON
HALPWAY CREEK BRIDGE, B-32 0241
CONSTBRIDGE REPLACEMENT LET-Project Number Federal Highway Administration Project Number Recipient Project Number Federal Award ID Federal Transit Administration 7930-08-70 640-03-61 a Crosse, City Of a Crosse, City Of

This page intentionally left blank.

Performance Measures & Targets

Background

MAP-21 and the FAST Act require MPOs to incorporate performance-based planning and programming when developing the MTP and the TIP. Performance measures established in 23 CFR 490 for safety, system condition, system performance, and system reliability, 49 CFR 625 for transit asset management, and 49 CFR 673 for transit safety were developed to meet the federal performance goals outlined below:

- Safety: To achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads.
- **Infrastructure condition:** To maintain the highway infrastructure asset system in a state of good repair.
- Congestion reduction: To achieve a significant reduction in congestion on the National Highway System (NHS).
- System reliability: To improve the efficiency of the surface transportation system.
- Freight movement and economic vitality: To improve the national freight network, strengthen the ability of rural communities to access national and international trade markets, and support regional economic development.
- **Environmental sustainability:** To enhance the performance of the transportation system while protecting and enhancing the natural environment.
- Reduced project delivery delays: To reduce project costs, promote jobs and the economy, and expedite the movement of people and goods by accelerating project completion through eliminating delays in the project development and delivery process, including reducing regulatory burdens and improving agencies' work practices.

Target Setting & Performance Monitoring

The LAPC, as a metropolitan planning organization, is required to establish performance targets that address the performance measures established under 23 CFR 490 (where applicable), 49 U.S.C. 5326(c), and 49 U.S.C. 5329(d). The measures and targets are used to track progress toward meeting performance goals for the planning area. Rather than develop their own targets, the LAPC agreed in 2017 to plan and program projects that support WisDOT and MnDOT performance targets. The cooperative agreements between the LAPC and its transportation partners (LAPC/MnDOT/MTU) and LAPC/WisDOT/MTU) include the commitment to cooperatively select and establish performance targets.

The LAPC Policy Board approved in November 2020 to plan and program projects that contribute toward the accomplishment of all State targets.

As a small attainment MPO, the LAPC TIP reports the Wisconsin and Minnesota targets for five Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) measures, nine National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) measures, three Transit Asset Management (TAM) measures, and seven transit safety measures relevant to our planning area.

Highway Safety

State Targets

The Wisconsin and Minnesota targets for the HSIP measures are illustrated in tables 8 and 9, respectively. Each measure is based on a five-year rolling average and targets are updated annually.

WisDOT targets are adjusted from the baseline to reflect a goal of a 2% reduction in each measure.

TABLE 8: WISDOT HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PERFORMANCE TARGETS, 2022

Safety Performance Measure	2016-2020 baseline	2020	2021	2022
Fatalities: Number of fatalities	596.6	564.7	576.0	584.7
Fatality Rate: Fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	0.938	0.888	0.890	0.919
Serious Injuries: Number of serious injuries	3,056.6	2,907.0	2,897.9	2,995.5
Serious Injury Rate: Serious injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	4.808	4.585	4.482	4.712
Non-motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries: Number of non- motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries	365.8	344.7	350.2	358.48

Source: Wisconsin Department of Transportation.

The 2021 MnDOT targets were established based on a trend from the 2019 outcome to the Strategic Highway Safety Plan goal for 2025 of no more than 225 traffic deaths and 980 serious injuries.

TABLE 9: MNDOT HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PERFORMANCE TARGETS, 2021

	2015-2019			
Safety Performance Measure	baseline	2019	2020	2021
Fatalities: Number of fatalities	381.2	372.2	375.4	352.4
Fatality Rate: Fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	0.644	0.622	0.626	0.582
Serious Injuries: Number of serious injuries	1,629.6	1,711.0	1,714.2	1,579.8
Serious Injury Rate: Serious injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	2.755	2.854	2.854	2.606
Non-motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries: Number of non- motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries	285.8	267.5	317.0	281.2

Source: Minnesota Department of Transportation.

The LAPC has committed to plan and program projects that support the states in achieving their targets.

Performance Monitoring

Fatalities in the planning area in 2019 (3) were down 57.1% from the 5-year average for 2015-2019 (7.0) while serious injuries in 2019 (61) were up 6.3% from the 5-year average for 2015-2019 (57.4). Non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries in 2019 (9) was at its lowest total since 2015, resulting in a drop of 6.3% from the 5-year average of 9.6.

The rates (number of occurrences divided by million vehicle miles traveled) for fatalities, serious injuries, and non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries for 2012-2019 are shown in Figure 3 along with the trends in the moving averages from 2012-2016 to 2015-2019. From 2012-2016 to 2015-2019, the 5-year averages for the serious injury and non-motorized rates declined 25.6% and 29.5%, respectively. Then change in the 5-year average fatality rate between the two time periods increased 7.9% because of the high number of fatalities in 2017 (13) and second lowest VMT in 2015-2019.




Figure 3: Injury severity rates and trends, 2012-2019. Rates are calculated using MPA totals and La Crosse County VMT. *Data sources:* TOPS Lab, UW-Madison; WisDOT website.

Highway and Bridge Condition and Performance

State Targets

Table 10 lists the federal performance measures for the National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) and the targets established by the Wisconsin and Minnesota DOTs. Wisconsin DOT made no adjustments to their 4-year targets as is allowed by federal regulation at the period midpoint. Minnesota DOT, on the other hand, adjusted their 4-year targets for bridges in "good" condition for Interstate reliability.

TABLE 10: WISDOT & MNDOT NATIONAL HIGHWAY PERFORMANCE PROGRAM DRAFT TARGETS, 2018-2021

Performance Measure	•	target)19)	4-yr target (2022)	
	WisDOT	MnDOT	WisDOT	MnDOT
Pavement Condition				
Interstate – Percentage pavements in "Good" condition	NA^1	NA	45%	55%
Interstate – Percentage pavements in "Poor" condition	NA	NA	5%	2%
Non-Interstate NHS ² – Percentage pavements in "Good" condition	20%	50%	20%	50%
Non-Interstate NHS – Percentage pavements in "Poor" condition	12%	4%	12%	4%
Bridge Condition				
Percentage of NHS bridges by deck area in "Good" condition	50%	50%	50%	35%³
Percentage of NHS bridges by deck area in "Poor" condition	3%	4%	3%	4%
Travel Time Reliability				
Interstate – Percent of person-miles traveled that are reliable	94.0%	80.0%	90.0%	80.0%
Non-Interstate NHS – Percent of person-miles traveled that are reliable	NA	NA	86.0%	90.0%4
Interstate – Truck travel time reliability index	1.40	1.50	1.60	1.50

¹ NA: Not required by Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).

Sources: Wisconsin and Minnesota Departments of Transportation.

The LAPC has committed to plan and program projects that support the states in achieving their targets.

Performance Monitoring

Table 11 reports the pavement and bridge condition and travel time reliability in the metropolitan planning area (MPA) for 2018 and 2019.

Over 73% of Wisconsin Interstate pavements (2018)¹ and over 70% of Minnesota Interstate pavements (2019) in the MPA are rated "good." None of the Interstate in the MPA is rated "poor."

The percentage of pavements in the Minnesota MPA rated "good" for the non-Interstate National Highway System (NHS) went up slightly from just over 65% in 2018 to nearly 69% in 2019. Although "good" pavements in the Wisconsin MPA are at a low 25%, the percentage of "poor" pavements is also low (11%), revealing that a significant percentage (64%) of pavements are only in "fair."

² National Highway System.

³ Adjusted down from 50%.

⁴Adjusted up from 75.0%

¹ Pavement condition for Wisconsin pavements has not yet been made available for 2019.

All the bridges in the Minnesota portion of the planning area and just over 56% of the bridges in the Wisconsin portion of the planning area are rated "good." Less than 1% of bridges (1) in the planning area are rated "poor."

Travel time reliability in the planning area as calculated by the Wisconsin Traffic Operations and Safety Laboratory is 100% for the Interstate, 90.4% for the non-Interstate NHS, and 1.25 for the Interstate truck travel time reliability index.

TABLE 11: PLANNING AREA PERFORMANCE: NATIONAL HIGHWAY PERFORMANCE PROGRAM MEASURES

Performance Measure	20	018	2019	
	WI MPA	MN MPA	WI MPA	MN MPA
Pavement Condition				
Interstate – Percentage pavements in "Good" condition	73.71	73.57	NA^1	70.31
Interstate – Percentage pavements in "Poor" condition	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00
Non-Interstate NHS – Percentage pavements in "Good" condition	25.09	65.08	NA	68.67
Non-Interstate NHS – Percentage pavements in "Poor" condition	10.95	0.00	NA	0.00
Bridge Condition				
Percentage of NHS bridges by deck area in "Good" condition	60.36	81.70	56.34	100.00
Percentage of NHS bridges by deck area in "Poor" condition	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Travel Time Reliability				
Interstate – Percent of person-miles traveled that are reliable	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Non-Interstate NHS – Percent of person-miles traveled that are reliable	89.0	94.3	90.4	NA
Interstate – Truck travel time reliability index	1.16	1.14	1.25	NA

¹ NA: Data not yet available.

Sources: Wisconsin and Minnesota Departments of Transportation; Wisconsin Traffic Operations and Safety Laboratory, University of Wisconsin-Madison; MnDOT performance dashboard.

Transit Asset Management (TAM)

49 CFR 625 establishes a National Transit Asset Management (TAM) System to monitor and manage the State of Good Repair (SGR) of public transportation capital assets to enhance safety, reduce maintenance costs, increase reliability, and improve performance. Tier II transit providers like our La Crosse Municipal Transit Utility (MTU) and Onalaska Shared Ride (OSR) must either develop their own TAM plan or participate in a group TAM plan. La Crosse MTU and OSR have both opted to join the Wisconsin group TAM plan. And having done so, the LAPC commits to plan and program projects that will support those targets. Performance measures relevant to our area include:

- Rolling stock: Percent of vehicles that have met or exceeded their useful life benchmark (ULB).
- Equipment: Percent of non-revenue service vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB.
- Facility: Percent of facilities rated below "3" on the TERM condition scale.

Table 12 summarizes the performance for all bus, cutaway, and minivan vehicles (the types of vehicles used by MTU or OSR) assessed in the State TAM Plan. WisDOT established targets whose percentages are

rounded down from the respective percentage of vehicles exceeding the ULB. Under these targets, the rolling stock performance for MTU and OHWSPT vehicles meets State targets.

The State targets for Equipment are 33 percent for automobiles and 29 percent for trucks or other rubber-tired vehicles. The State target for Facilities is 10 percent.

TABLE 12: STATE OF GOOD REPAIR FOR ROLLING STOCK FOR LA CROSSE MUNICIPAL TRANSIT UTILITY (MTU) AND ONALASKA SHARED RIDE (OSR), 2020

Vehicle Type	ULB ¹ (years)	2022 TAM ² Target	Wisconsin		MTU		OSR	
			# vehicles	>ULB	# vehicles	>ULB	# vehicles	>ULB
Bus	12	44.00%	158	58.22%	20	20.00%	0	0.00%
Cutaway	7	47.00%	536	54.29%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Minivan	4	27.00%	488	47.95%	0	0.00%	13	38.46%

¹Useful life benchmark.

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP)

La Crosse MTU and OSR each approved and certified their respective PTASP in December 2020. The baselines and targets developed through coordination between the transit agencies and LAPC staff are reported in Table 13.

The LAPC, having approved these targets for the Metropolitan Planning Area at its March 17, 2021 meeting, hereby, commits to planning and programming projects that will support our transit agencies in meeting their targets.

TABLE 13: PUBLIC TRANSIT AGENCY SAFETY PLAN MEASURES AND TARGETS

Measure	La Crosse MTU		Onalaska Shared Ride	
	2014-2018 Baseline ¹	2021 Target ²	2014-2018 Baseline ¹	2021 Target ²
Total number of reportable fatalities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rate of reportable fatalities per total VRM	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total number of reportable injuries	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.6
Rate of reportable injuries per total VRM	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total number of reportable safety events	0.4	0.2	2.0	2.0
Rate of reportable safety events per total VRM	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average distance between major mechanical failures	9,180.9	11,272.2	322,848.9	322,848.9

¹ 5-year average for the 5-yr period ending with the year of most recent data (2018).

²Wisconsin Department of Transportation Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan October 2019 to 2022, updated October 2021.

² Best case from the 5-year averages for the three most recent 5-year periods (2012-2016, 2013-2017, 2014-2018) inclusive of the baseline period (2014-2018).

How the TIP Supports State and Transit Agency Targets

The TIP must include to the maximum extent practicable a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets and linking the area investment priorities to those targets [23 CFR 450.326(d)].

A total of 81 projects are programmed in this TIP. Seventeen of the projects are transit capital and/or operations projects; 13 are HSIP projects (two are rail safety); 4 are TAP projects (one bicycle/pedestrian bridge, one trail, and two Safe Routes to School), which could be considered safety projects as they will provide safe options for bicycle and pedestrian travel; 23 are National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) projects (two are also HSIP projects); 13 are Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) projects (one of which is a transit capital project); one is a Federal Land Access Program (FLAP) project; and 10 are projects that are being funded in whole by local (2 reconstruction and 2 bridge replacement) or state (5 system preservation and 1 transit operations) funds. The TIP also includes four ITS projects—one of which is also a HSIP/NHPP project.

Table 14 summarizes the TIP projects by their potential to impact one or more of the federal performance measures. The table does not include transit operations projects or projects that occur on non-NHS roads. Some projects may impact measures within more than one category and thus are counted more than once. (For example, the USH 14 South Ave project is a safety project, an infrastructure project on the NHS, and a project considering roundabouts to improve flow, resulting in that project being included in three categories.) Twenty-seven (33.3%) of the TIP projects (transit operations, transit capital for non-reporting transit providers, or non-NHS road projects) will not directly impact the federal measures.

As summarized in the table, 14 (17.5%) of the projects will improve pavement condition through pavement replacement, overlays, etc., rehabilitation, or reconstruction on the Interstate and non-Interstate NHS; 12 (14.8%) projects will improve the pavement on or replace bridges; 17 (21.0%) are intended to improve safety; and 8 (9.9%) can impact the state of good repair for transit. Thirteen (16.0%) of the projects could improve travel time reliability measures through new facilities, roundabouts, or ITS (monotubes, left-turn lanes, signal equipment).

		2021-2024 TIP	2022-2025 TIP		
Performance Measure Category	# Projects	% of All (76) TIP Projects	# Projects	% of All (8) TIP Projects	
Safety (HSIP)	10	13.2	13	16.0	
Safety (TAP)	2	2.6	4	4.9	
Transit (SGR)	15	19.7	8	9.9	
Pavement Condition*	19	25.0	14	17.3	
Bridge condition*	10	13.2	12	14.8	
System Performance*	12	15.8	13	16.0	
Freight (Interstate)	0	0.0	0	0.0	

^{*}For the Interstate and non-Interstate NHS.

Projects are illustrated in Figure 4 and are symbolized by the category of the performance measure(s) they have the most potential to impact. Similar types of projects that occur on the non-NHS are illustrated in the same color, but in a different line or point attribute. TAP projects are symbolized as safety projects. Transit capital or operations projects are not illustrated. For reference to the TIP tables, projects in the figures are labeled with the last five digits of the project number.

Community Impact Assessment

Environmental Justice (EJ) at the LAPC works to identify and address disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its TIP projects on low-income, minority, limited-English proficient (LEP), and disabled persons.

Consistent with the definitions in FTA C 4702.1B Title VI, the LAPC uses the following definitions:

- ➤ Low-income refers to a person whose median household income is below 150%² the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) poverty guidelines. Data source: C17002 Ratio of Income to Poverty Level.
- Minority refers to a person who identifies with being American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, or some other race that is not White. Data source: B03002 Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race.
- Limited-English proficient refers to a person for whom English is not their primary language and who speaks English less than very well. Data source: S1601 Language Spoken at Home.
- Disabled refers to a person who reported having any one of the following difficulties: hearing, vision, cognitive, ambulatory, self-care, or independent living. Data source: B18101 Sex by Age by Disability Status.

Potential Impact of Projects on Low-Income, Minority, LEP, and Disabled Persons

Figure 4 illustrates the projects programmed within the four-year window of the 2022-2025 TIP in relation to Census tracts identified as having a high percentage of minority, low-income, LEP, and/or disabled persons. A tract is defined as "high percentage" when the lower-bound percentage (estimate minus the margin of error) of the tract is higher than the upper-bound percentage for the planning area (estimate plus the margin of error or 11.03% for minority, 20.63% for low-income, 2.98% for LEP, and 12.38% for disabled).

Table 15 summarizes the demographics for the high-percentage tracts. All the populated areas of the tracts are in the city of La Crosse.³

²This threshold is consistent with the definition of "low-income" found in 49 U.S.C. 5302 as amended by MAP-21.

³ The populated area of tract 3 is in downtown La Crosse. The tract also includes area of the Mississippi River in the town of Campbell.

TABLE 15: TRACTS WITH A HIGH PERCENTAGE¹ OF MINORITY, LOW-INCOME, LEP, OR DISABLED PERSONS, 2015-2019

Tract	Population Location	Population Estimate	Population MOE ²	Group Estimate	Group MOE	% Group Estimate	% Group MOE
Minority ³							
1	La Crosse	4,714	427	1,268	532	26.90%	11.03%
Low-Income ⁴							
3	La Crosse	1,842	239	1,056	278	57.33%	13.16%
4	La Crosse	5,835	546	4,685	745	80.29%	10.32%
5	La Crosse	1,834	254	1,366	209	74.48%	4.81%
LEP ⁵							
4	La Crosse	6,336	557	452	235	7.13%	3.64%
Disability ⁶							
3	La Crosse	1,905	251	434	117	22.78%	5.35%
9	La Crosse	3,349	305	584	155	17.44%	4.34%
11.02	La Crosse	3,868	226	759	174	19.62%	4.36%

¹ A tract is defined as "high percentage" when the lower-bound percentage (estimate minus the margin of error) is higher than the upper-bound percentage for the planning area (estimate plus the margin of error or 20.63% for low-income, 11.03% for minority, 2.98% for LEP, and 12.38% for disability). The estimates and the margins of error for the planning area are 19.35% +/-1.28% for low-income, 10.17% +/- 0.86% for minority, 2.60% +/- 0.38% for LEP, and 11.63% +/- 0.75%.

NOTE: Population estimates are the universe (or pool of respondents) for a subject. Tracts 3 and 4 in the table have different population estimates because the universes for those subjects are different.

Sources: B03002 Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race, C17002 Ratio of Income to Poverty Level in the Past 12 Months, S1601 Language Spoken at Home, B18101 Sex by Age by Disability Status; 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau.

Table 16 shows the projects that fall within or border tracts with a high percentage of minority, low-income, LEP, and/or disabled persons. Projects are labeled with the last five digits of the LAPC project number listed in tables 3 and 4. Only projects with a known physical location are illustrated.

New facilities and reconstruction projects have the greatest potential to negatively impact populations because they involve acquiring property and working outside the existing right-of-way. Four projects—one new facility (243-06-013) and three reconstruction (243-13-015, 243-14-026, and 243-19-024)—have been identified within or bordering a high percentage tract.

The alignment shown in the figure for project 243-06-013 is the northern LAPC-approved portion of a new facility between IH 90 and USH 14/61 South Ave. It connects 12th Ave in Onalaska with Chestnut Pl in La Crosse and connects STH 157 in Onalaska with River Valley Dr in La Crosse. The project appears to potentially impact minority persons; however, the alignment of the project is within the unpopulated area of Tract 1.

²Margin of error.

³A minority person is defined as a person who is NOT White Alone/Not Hispanic.

⁴A low-income person is defined as a person whose family income is less than 150% of the poverty line (ratio of income to poverty is less than 1.5).

⁵A limited-English proficient person is defined as a person whose primary language is not English and who has a limited ability to read, write, speak, or understand English. The percentage is based on the percentage of the total population in the tract.

⁶A person is considered to have a disability if they reported to having any one of the following difficulties: hearing, vision, cognitive, ambulatory, self-care, or independent living.

Although projects 243-13-015 and 243-14-026 appear to impact disabled persons, the locations of housing facilities and work enhancement centers are well outside the project areas. The pedestrian accommodations included in these projects are designed to provide safer crossings and improved access.

Project 243-19-024 occurs on Gillette St between Caledonia St and STH 35/George St—a well-developed corridor with residences and businesses on both sides—and appears to have the potential to disproportionately impact minority persons. The reconstruction, however, does not require right-of-way acquisition. The project will have a positive impact on residents by improving transportation options with bike lanes and pedestrian crossing enhancements.

Seven of the remaining 10 projects listed in Table 16 involve resurfacing, concrete overlays, pavement replacement and the like and will have no negative impact. Projects 243-19-028, -029, and -30 will not only have no negative impacts they will have a positive impact by improving safety with enhanced pedestrian crossings and offset left-turn lanes for driver visibility. The final project (243-21-023) is a rail safety project and will have no negative impacts.

TABLE 16: PROJECTS WITHIN OR BORDERING HIGH PERCENTAGE TRACTS¹

Project	Minority	Low- Income	LEP	Disabled
243-06-013 USH 53, USH 14/61 – IH 90, Reconstruction	Tract 1			
243-11-012 STH 33 (Jackson St), 3 rd St to 23 rd St, Surface		Tracts 3, 4	Tract 4	Tract 9
243-11-024 STH 16 (La Crosse St), Oakland St to Losey Blvd, patch and overlay		Tract 5		
243-13-015 USH 14, South Avenue; Green Bay St. to Ward Ave., Reconstruct roadway and improve intersections				Tract 9
243-14-026 STH 35, La Crosse County Line to Garner Pl, reconstruct STH 35/USH 14/61 intersection				Tract 11.02
243-19-022 USH 14, Cameron Ave and Cass St structures, paint and repair		Tract 3		
243-19-024 Gillette St, Caledonia St to STH 35/George St, Reconstruct	Tract 1			
243-19-027 USH 14/61, Mormon Coulee Rd/Broadview Pl intersection, left-turn lanes/monotubes				Tract 11.02
243-19-028 STH 33/35, West Ave/Jackson St intersection, left-turn lanes/monotubes		Tract 4	Tract 4	
243-19-029 STH 16/35, West Ave/La Crosse St intersection, left-turn lanes/monotubes		Tracts 4, 5	Tract 4	
243-19-030 STH 35, West Ave/King St and West Ave/Badger St intersections, close medians and add crosswalks		Tracts 4, 5	Tract 4	
243-20-016 USH 53, 3 rd St from Cass St to 2 nd St, pavement replacement		Tract 3		
243-20-028 USH 53, 4 th St from Cass St to 2 nd St, pavement replacement		Tract 3		
243-20-031 USH 14, Marion Rd to Garner Pl, mill & overlay				Tract 11.02
243-21-023 Town of Shelby, Losey Boulevard (BNSF RR Xing 079827S), Signal Replacement				Tract 11.02

¹A tract is defined as "high percentage" when the lower-bound percentage (estimate minus the margin of error) of the tract is higher than the upper-bound percentage for the planning area (estimate plus the margin of error or 11.03% for minority, 20.63% for low-income, 2.98% for LEP, and 12.38% for disabled).




Figure 4: 2022-2025 TIP projects. The five-digit numbers correspond to the last five digits of the LAPC TIP number in Table 3.

Financial Plan

The TIP Financial Plan (Table 17 and Table 18) lists anticipated annual expenditures by source and program (see Table 3).

Table 17 lists TIP expenditures in "year of expense" dollars. Project costs are inflated by 1.74% per year for 2023, 2024, and 2025. Table 18 lists anticipated funding with a 2.00% inflation factor applied to revenues for 2023, 2024, and 2025. This results in \$664,600 in revenues over expenditures.

TABLE 17: 2022-2025 TIP FINANCIAL PLAN EXPENDITURES (X \$1000 WITH 1.74% ANNUAL INFLATION FACTOR APPLIED TO 2023-2025)

	Anticipated Expenditures (x \$1000 with 1.74% Annual Inflation Factor 2023 - 2025)						
F	UNDING SOURCE / PROGRAM	2022	2023	2024	2025	Total	
	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)	678.1	29,647.2	611.1	1,253.3	32,189.8	
	Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG)	459.5	2,127.1	0.0	7,725.0	10,311.6	
Fadarel History	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	11,378.0	2,999.2	14,434.6	23,168.4	51,980.2	
Federal Highway Administration	Emergency Relief (ER)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Federal Land Access Program (FLAP)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)	1,213.2	140.4	0.0	0.0	1,353.6	
	Urban Area Formula Grant (5307)	2,447.9	2,168.7	2,206.4	2,244.8	9,067.8	
	Bus and Bus Facilities Program (5339)	240.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	240.0	
Federal Transit Administration	Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities (5310)	156.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	156.2	
	Rural Area Formula Grants (5311)	237.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	237.5	
	Total Federal	16,810.4	37,082.6	17,252.1	34,391.5	105,536.6	
Wisconsin	State Funds including Major Projects and State Transit Funds	6,406.3	7,812.1	5,460.2	9,686.4	29,364.9	
Minnesota	State Funds Including State Construction Oversite	0.0	8,646.4	0.0	0.0	8,646.4	
	Total State	6,406.3	16,458.5	5,460.2	9,686.4	38,011.3	
Local	Local Shares (Wisconsin)	8,566.9	9,715.3	3,430.9	3,480.9	25,194.0	
Local	Local Shares (Minnesota)	263.0	267.6	272.2	277.0	1,079.8	
	Total Local	8,829.9	9,982.8	3,703.2	3,757.9	26,273.8	
	Total Programmed Projects	32,046.5	63,523.9	26,415.4	47,835.8	\$169,821.7	

TABLE 18: 2022-2025 TIP FINANCIAL PLAN ESTIMATED AVAILABLE FUNDING (X \$1000 WITH 2.00% ANNUAL **INFLATION FACTOR APPLIED TO 2023-2025)**

	Anticipated Revenues (x \$1000 with 2.00% Annual Inflation Factor 2023 - 2025)							
FUNDING SOURCE / PROGRAM		2022	2023	2024	2025	Total		
	Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)	678.1	29,723.0	614.3	1,262.9	32,278.3		
	Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG)	459.5	2,132.5	0.0	7,784.4	10,376.4		
Federal Highway	National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)	11,378.0	3,006.9	14,508.5	23,346.6	52,240.0		
Administration	Emergency Relief (ER)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Federal Land Access Program (FLAP)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)	1,213.2	140.8	0.0	0.0	1,354.0		
	Urban Area Formula Grant (5307)	2,447.9	2,174.2	2,217.7	2,262.1	9,101.9		
Federal Transit	Bus and Bus Facilities Program (5339)	240.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	240.0		
Administration	Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities (5310)	156.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	156.2		
	Rural Area Formula Grants (5311)	237.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	237.5		
	Total Federal	16,810.4	37,177.4	17,340.5	34,656.0	105,984.3		
Wisconsin	State Funds including Major Projects and State Transit Funds	6,406.3	7,832.1	5,488.1	9,760.9	29,487.3		
Minnesota	State Funds Including State Construction Oversite	0.0	8,668.5	0.0	0.0	8,668.5		
	Total State	6,406.3	16,500.5	5,488.1	9,760.9	38,155.8		
Local	Local Shares (Wisconsin)	8,566.9	9,740.1	3,448.5	3,507.7	25,263.2		
Local	Local Shares (Minnesota)	263.0	268.3	273.6	279.1	1,084.0		
	Total Local	8,829.9	10,008.3	3,722.1	3,786.8	26,347.2		
	Total Programmed Projects	32,046.5	63,686.3	26,550.7	48,203.8	\$170,487.2		

According to 23 CFR 450.326(j), the TIP "shall contain system-level estimates of costs and revenue sources that are reasonably expected to be available to adequately operate and maintain Federal-aid highways...and public transportation...." Table 19 illustrates the local road and highway operations and maintenance expenses for 2016-2019.

Tables 19 illustrates O & M information for local road and Federal-aid highways. The projections provided are based off public road expense/revenue data collected and summarized for local units of government in the planning area. There is no clear data source for Federal-aid highway O & M expenses.

Total O & M expenses in the planning area averaged \$25,562,849 per year in 2020 dollars. Table 20 illustrates the estimated O & M expenses of Federal-aid road miles in planning area.

Table 19: Local Road and Highway Operations and Maintenance Expenses, 2016-2019

Jurisdiction	2016	2017	2018	2019
La Crosse County ¹	\$4,535,260	\$4,372,824	\$3,889,839	\$4,443,323
C. La Crosse	\$14,025,731	\$26,008,666	\$8,074,375	\$8,422,976
C. Onalaska	\$1,203,344	\$1,416,311	\$1,330,001	\$1,403,348
V. Holmen	\$831,374	\$790,916	\$809,509	\$1,030,528
V. West Salem	\$307,148	\$430,646	\$346,185	\$384,666
T. Barre	\$180,372	\$98,651	\$111,577	\$119,667
T. Campbell	\$213,416	\$250,573	\$287,585	\$290,920
T. Greenfield	\$124,225	\$328,909	\$377,886	\$465,267
T. Hamilton	\$354,227	\$471,578	\$480,202	\$246,033
T. Holland	\$126,591	\$174,974	\$190,779	\$424,577
T. Medary	\$94,335	\$178,696	\$187,760	\$157,149
T. Onalaska	\$263,071	\$376,888	\$353,252	\$356,512
T. Shelby	\$1,304,311	\$784,991	\$1,100,863	\$1,482,846
Houston County ¹	\$183,050	\$146,397	\$196,651	\$173,956
C. La Crescent	\$612,347	\$569,569	\$722,283	\$786,442
T. La Crescent	\$158,657	\$257,100	\$182,035	No Report
Winona County ¹	\$30,416	\$38,300	\$32,169	\$88,486
T. Dresbach	\$45,561	\$52,553	\$144,943	\$65,918
Total Planning Area	<i>\$24,593,436</i>	<i>\$36,748,542</i>	\$18,817,894	<i>\$20,342,614</i>

¹ Percent of County expenditures attributed to the planning area as based on percent of County highway miles. Sources: County and Municipal Revenues and Expenditures Report, Wisconsin Department of Revenue (2016-2019); Minnesota County, City, and Town Finances Reports (2016-2019).

Table 20: Highway Operations and Maintenance Cost Projections, 2021-2025

O & M	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
Expenses ¹	\$9,586,068 ¹	\$9,752,866	\$9,922,566	\$10,095,218	\$10,270,875	\$10,449,588
Revenues ²	\$8,428,910 ²	\$8,597,488	\$8,769,438	\$8,944,827	\$9,123,723	\$9,306,197

¹ 2020 base year calculated as the 4-year average of total local road and highway operation and maintenance expenses for the planning area (\$25,562,849) times 37.5% (estimated percent of Federal-aid road miles in planning area); 2021-2025 adjusted by a 1.74% expense inflation rate.

Sources: Wisconsin Department of Transportation; Beyond Coulee Visions 2040.

² 2020 base year represents the sum of Wisconsin STH Maintenance and Operations funding, Wisconsin Connecting Highway Aids, and a proportion of Minnesota annual state and federal highway funding; 2021-2025 adjusted by a 2.00% revenue inflation rate.

Table 21 illustrates O & M for public transportation providers in the planning area.

Table 21: Anticipated Expenses and Revenues for Transit Operations and Maintenance

Transit O & M Expenses & Revenues	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
4	67.227.574	¢7.262.505	¢7.404.630	¢7.624.004	¢2.754.607	¢7,000,507
Expenses ¹	\$7,237,571	\$7,363,505	\$7,491,630	\$7,621,984	\$7,754,607	\$7,889,537
Revenues ²						
FTA 5307 (MTU, OHWSPT)	2,502,202	2,552,246	2,603,291	2,655,357	2,708,463	\$2,762,632
FTA 5311 (SMRT)	213,635	217,908	222,266	226,711	231,245	\$235,870
State Operating Assistance	2,246,709	2,291,643	2,337,477	2,384,226	2,431,910	\$2,480,548
Local (farebox, match, etc.)	2,595,033	2,646,934	2,699,872	2,753,869	2,808,948	\$2,865,127
Total Revenues	\$7,557,579	\$7,708,731	\$7,862,906	\$8,020,163	\$8,180,566	\$8,344,177

 $^{^{1}}$ 2020 base year, 2021-2025 annually inflated by 1.74%. Total expenses for MTU, OHWSPT, and SMRT.

Sources: Wisconsin Department of Transportation Revenue Estimates, March 2020; National Transit Database; TIP tables.

² 2020 base year, 2021-2025 annually inflated by 2.00%. Unless otherwise noted, revenues are aggregates from MTU, OHWSPT, and

Appendix A: Public Process

Public Comment

This section will be updated after the public comment period has ended on November 9, 2021.

Public Notice and Resolution

Public Notices

The public notice satisfies the requirements of 23 CFR 450.316 and of Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program for the La Crosse Municipal Transit Utility and Onalaska Shared Ride.

The notice was posted to the LAPC website and sent through e-mail to LAPC committee members and interested parties.

Website

2022-2025 Annual Transportation Improvement Program

This notice announces a 15-day public comment period beginning Tuesday, October 26, 2021 and ending Tuesday, November 9, 2020 for the 2022-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The TIP includes but is not limited to a listing of federal-funded, state-funded, and regionally significant projects; a discussion of how the projects support Wisconsin and Minnesota performance targets; and a discussion of how the projects impact underrepresented populations. Appendix A addresses the public process and will be updated after November 9, 2021 with any substantive comments received during the comment period.

Comments may be directed to Jackie Eastwood at jeastwood@lacrossecounty.org or at 608.785.6141.

If you need special accommodation or translation into another language to view LAPC plans or programs, please contact Jackie Eastwood at 608-785-6141.

Yog tias koj xav tau kev pab tshwj xeeb lossis txhais ua lwm hom lus kom pom LAPC cov phiaj xwm lossis phiaj xwm, thov hu rau Jackie Eastwood ntawm 608-785-6141.

Si necesita adaptaciones especiales o traducción a otro idioma para ver los planes o programas de LAPC, comuníquese con Jackie Eastwood al 608-785-6141.

E-mail

Good morning, LAPC committee members and interested parties!

This notice announces a 15-day public comment period beginning Tuesday, October 26, 2021 and ending Tuesday, November 9, 2020 for the 2022-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The TIP includes but is not limited to a listing of federal-funded, state-funded, and regionally significant projects; a discussion of how the projects support Wisconsin and Minnesota performance targets; and a discussion of how the projects impact underrepresented populations. Appendix A addresses the public process and will be updated after November 9, 2021 with any substantive comments received during the comment period.

Comments may be directed to me at the contact information below.

If you need special accommodation or translation into another language to view LAPC plans or programs, please contact Jackie Eastwood at 608-785-6141.

Yog tias koj xav tau kev pab tshwj xeeb lossis txhais ua lwm hom lus kom pom LAPC cov phiaj xwm lossis phiaj xwm, thov hu rau Jackie Eastwood ntawm 608-785-6141.

Si necesita adaptaciones especiales o traducción a otro idioma para ver los planes o programas de LAPC, comuníquese con Jackie Eastwood al 608-785-6141.

Jackie Eastwood Transportation Planner La Crosse Area Planning Committee Metropolitan Planning Organization 212 6th St N, Room 1200 La Crosse Wi 54601 608.785.6141 or 608.792.0520 (cell)

The public notice and draft document were published on the website on Monday, October 25, 2021. The e-mail to LAPC committee members and interested parties announcing the public comment period and public notice was distributed on Tuesday, October 26, 2021.

Resolution Approving the 2022-2025 TIP

The following resolution will be approved by the LAPC Policy Board on November 17, 2021.

RESOLUTION 9-2021

APPROVING THE

2022 -2025 La Crosse and La Crescent Metropolitan Area Transportation Improvement Program

WHEREAS, the U. S. Department of Transportation regulations require the development and approval of a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the metropolitan planning area by the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO); and

WHEREAS, the U. S. Department of Transportation regulations provide for self-certification that the urban transportation planning process is being carried out in conformance with all applicable requirements of federal law; and

WHEREAS, the La Crosse Area Planning Committee (LAPC) was created through an Inter-municipal Agreement approved by all local units of government located within the La Crosse urbanized area as the urban planning body responsible for performing urban transportation planning; and

WHEREAS, the LAPC is recognized by the Governors of Minnesota and Wisconsin as the MPO for the La Crosse/La Crescent area; and

WHEREAS, the LAPC adopted the *Beyond Coulee Vision 2040* Metropolitan Transportation Plan on September 16, 2020; and

WHEREAS, federal regulations dictate that projects included in the Transportation Improvement Program are also consistent with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: that

- the LAPC, MnDOT and WisDOT agree that the first year of the TIP constitutes an "agreed to" list of projects for project selection purposes and no further project selection action is required for WisDOT, Mn/DOT or the transit operator to proceed with federal fund commitment; and
- if WisDOT, MnDOT or the transit operator(s) wish to proceed with a project(s) not in the first year of the TIP, the LAPC agrees that projects from the second, third, or fourth year of the TIP can be advanced to proceed with federal fund commitment without the LAPC being further involved in their project selection; and
- even though an updated TIP has been developed and approved by the LAPC, WisDOT and MnDOT can continue to seek federal fund commitment for projects in the previous TIP until a new Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) has been jointly approved by Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA); and

- 4) highway and transit projects reflected in any of the first three years of the approved STIP may be advanced for federal fund commitment without requiring an amendment to the STIP; and
- 5) it is the intent of WisDOT, MnDOT and the MPO to advance only projects, including transit operating assistance, that are included in an approved TIP and STIP; and
- 6) concerning the federal funding sources that the MPO has identified for individual projects in its TIP, it is agreed that WisDOT and MnDOT can unilaterally interchange the various FHWA funding program sources without necessitating a STIP or TIP amendment, except that WisDOT must seek MPO staff approval to use Entitlement or Allocated STP funds and CMAQ funds for projects not identified for that source of funding in the TIP; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: that the LAPC approves the 2022-2025 Transportation Improvement Program as being consistent with metropolitan plans and policies; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: that the LAPC policy board authorizes the staff of the LAPC to make minor changes in order to address the concerns of the FTA, FHWA, MnDOT, and WisDOT.

LA CROSSE AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE
Linda Seidel, Chair
Peter Fletcher, Executive Director

Dated: November 17, 2021

Appendix B: Minnesota MPO TIP Check List

MPO: La Crosse Area Planning Committee

Contact name: Jackie Eastwood

TIP time period: 2020-2023

Table 22 identifies information that should be covered in TIP as required by 23 CFR 450.

TABLE 22: 23 CFR 450 REQUIRED ELEMENTS FOR TIP

Regulatory Citation (23 CFR)	Key Content of Rule	Review Guidance	Included in TIP?	If yes, which page(s)?
450.316(a)	Public Involvement	MPO followed its public participation plan for the TIP process which includes, but is not limited to adequate public notice, reasonable opportunity for public comment, use of visualization, available online, and explicit consideration and response to public input.	Yes / No	6-7, 43-44 in Appendix A
450.316(b)	Consultation	TIP process includes consultation with other planning organizations and stakeholders, including tribes and federal land management agencies.	Yes / No	1
459.322(b)	Congestion Management	TMA's TIP reflects multimodal measures / strategies from congestion management process	Yes / No / NA	

TABLE 22: CFR 450 REQUIRED ELEMENTS FOR TIP (continued)

Regulatory Citation (23 CFR)	Key Content of Rule	Review Guidance	Included in TIP?	If yes, which page(s)?
450.326(a)	Cooperation with State and public transit operators	TIP developed in cooperation with the State (DOT) and (any) public transit operators.	Yes / No	1
450.326(a)	TIP time period	TIP covers at least 4 years.	Yes / No	13-17 Tables 3 and 4
450.326(a)	MPO approval of TIP	Signed copy of the resolution is included.	Yes / No	45-46 Appendix A
450.326(a)	MPO Conformity Determination	If a nonattainment /maintenance area, a conformity determination was made and included in the TIP.	Yes / No / NA	
450.326(b)	Reasonable opportunity for public comment	TIP identifies options provided for public review / comment, documentation of meetings, notices, TIP published on-line, other document availability, accommodations, etc.	Yes / No	43-44 Appendix A
450.326(b)	TIP public meeting	TMA's process provided at least one formal public meeting.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	
450.326(c)	Performance targets	TIP designed to make progress toward achieving established performance targets.	Yes / No	23-30
450.326(d)	Performance targets	TIP describes anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving performance targets identified in the MTP, linking investment priorities to those performance targets.	Yes / No	29-30
450.326(e)	Types of projects included in TIP	TIP includes capital and non- capital surface transportation projects within the metropolitan planning area proposed for funding under 23 USC or 49 USC chapter 53.	Yes / No	13-17 Tables 3 and 4
450.326(f)	Regionally significant projects	TIP lists all regionally significant projects requiring FHWA or FTA action, regardless of funding source.	<mark>Yes</mark> / No	13 Table 3

TABLE 22: CFR 450 REQUIRED ELEMENTS FOR TIP (continued)

Regulatory Citation (23 CFR)	Key Content	Review Guidance	Included in TIP?	If yes, which page(s)?
450.326(g)(1)	Individual project information	TIP includes sufficient scope description (type, termini, length, etc.).	Yes / No	13-16 Table 3
450.326(g)(2)	Individual project information	TIP includes estimated total cost (including costs that extend beyond the 4 years of the TIP).	Yes / No	13-16 Table 3
450.326(g)(3)	Individual project information	TIP identifies federal funds proposed, by year, and proposed category(ies) of federal funds and source(s) of non-federal funds.	Yes / No	13-16 Table 3; 39 Table 17
450.326(g)(4)	Individual project information	TIP identifies recipient / responsible agency(s).	Yes / No	13-16 Table 3
450.326(g)(5)	Individual project information	If a nonattainment / maintenance area, TIP identifies projects identifies as TCMs from SIP.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	
450.326(g)(6)	Individual project information	If a nonattainment / maintenance area, project information provides sufficient detail for air quality analysis.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	
450.326(g)(7)	Individual project information	TIP identifies projects that will implement ADA paratransit or key station plans.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	No such projects in this TIP
450.326(h)	Small projects	TIP identifies small projects by function or geographic area or work type.	Yes / No	17 Table 4 grouped projects list
450.326(h)	Small projects	If a nonattainment / maintenance area, small project classification is consistent with exempt category for EPA conformity requirements.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	
450.326(i)	Consistency with approved plans	Each project is consistent with the MPO's approved transportation plan.	Yes / No	45-46 resolution 9- 2021

TABLE 22: CFR 450 REQUIRED ELEMENTS FOR TIP (continued)

Regulatory Citation (23 CFR)	Key Content	Review Guidance	Included in TIP?	If yes, which page(s)?
450.326(j)	Financial plan	TIP demonstrates it can be implemented, indicates reasonably expected public and private resources, and recommends financing strategies for needed projects and programs.	Yes / No	39-40 Tables 17 and 18
450.326(j)	Financial plan	Total costs are consistent with DOT estimate of available federal and state funds.	Yes / No	39-40 Tables 17 and 18
450.326(j)	Financial plan	Construction or operating funds are reasonably expected to be available for all listed projects.	Yes / No	39-40 Tables 17 and 18
450.326(j)	Financial plan	For new funding sources, strategies are identified to ensure fund availability.	Yes / No / NA	No new funding sources
450.326(j)	Financial plan	TIP includes all projects and strategies funded under 23 USC and Federal Transit Act and regionally significant projects.	Yes / No	40 Table 18
450.326(j)	Financial plan	TIP contains system-level estimates of costs and revenues expected to be available to operate and maintain Federalaid highways and transit.	Yes / No	41-42 Tables 19-21
450.326(j)	Financial plan	Revenue and cost estimates are inflated to reflect year of expenditure.	Yes / No	39-42 Tables 17-21
450.326(k)	Financial constraint	Full funding for each project is reasonably anticipated to be available within the identified time frame.	Yes / No	40 Table 18
450.326(k)	Financial Constraint	If a nonattainment / maintenance area, the first two years' projects are only those for which funds are available or committed.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	

TABLE 22: CFR 450 REQUIRED ELEMENTS FOR TIP (continued)

Regulatory Citation (23 CFR)	Key Content	Review Guidance	Included in TIP?	If yes, which page(s)?
450.326(k)	Financial Constraint	TIP is financially constrained by year, while providing for adequate operation and maintenance of the federal-aid system.	Yes / No	39-42 Tables 17-21
450.326(k)	Financial Constraint	If a nonattainment / maintenance area, priority was given to TCMs identified in the SIP.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	
450.326(m)	Sub-allocated funds	Sub-allocation of STP or 49 USC 5307 funds is not allowed unless TIP demonstrates how transportation plan objectives are fully met.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	
450.326(n)(1)	Monitoring progress	TIP identifies criteria (including multimodal tradeoffs), describes prioritization process, and notes changes in priorities from prior years.	Yes / No	8 Link to website
450.326(n)(2)	Monitoring progress	TIP lists major projects (from previous TIP) that have been implemented or significantly delayed.	Yes / No	20 Table 6
450.326(n)(3)	Monitoring progress	If a nonattainment / maintenance area, progress implementing TCS is described.	Yes / No / <mark>NA</mark>	
450.328	TIP / STIP relationship	Approved TIP included in STIP without change.	Yes / No	
450.334	Annual Listing of Obligated Projects	TIP includes annual list of obligated projects, including bike and/or pedestrian facilities.	Yes / No	21 Table 7
450.336	Certification	TIP includes or is accompanied by resolution whereby MPO self-certifies compliance with all applicable provisions of 23 CFR 450.336.	<mark>Yes</mark> / No	5-6; 45-46 Resolution 9- 2021

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix C: Contact Information

LAPC Contact Information

La Crosse Area Planning Committee La Crosse County Administrative Center 212 6th Street N, Room 1200 La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

LAPC Website

www.lacrossecounty.org/mpo

Executive Director

Peter Fletcher PH: (608) 785-5977

E-mail: pfletcher@lacrossecounty.org

Transportation Planner

Jackie Eastwood PH: (608) 785-6141

E-mail: jeastwood@lacrossecounty.org

LAPC Subcommittees

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Committee on Transit and Active Transportation (CTAT)

Department of Transportation Local Contacts

Wisconsin

Wisconsin Department of Transportation Southwest Region, La Crosse Office 3550 Mormon Coulee Road La Crosse, WI 54601 Phone: (608) 785-9022

Phone: (608) 785-9022 Fax: (608) 785-9969

Angela Adams, Southwest Region Deputy Director

PH: (608) 785-9022

E-mail: swr.dtsd@dot.wi.gov

• Steve Flottmeyer, Region Planning Chief

PH: (608) 785-9075

E-mail: stephen.flottmeyer@dot.wi.gov

Art Sommerfield, Planning Supervisor

PH: (608) 785-9952

E-mail: arthur.sommerfield@dot.wi.gov

• Francis Schelfhout, Urban and Regional Planner

PH: (608) 785-9947

E-mail: francis.schelfhout@dot.wi.gov

Minnesota

Minnesota Department of Transportation District 6 2900 48th Street NW P.O. Box 6177 Rochester, MN 55903-6177

Phone: (507) 285-7350 Fax: (507) 285-7355

• Mark Schoenfelder, District Engineer

PH: (507) 286-7552

E-mail: mark.schoenfelder@state.mn.us

• Kurt Wayne, Principal Transportation Planner

PH: (507) 286-7680

E-mail: kurt.wayne@state.mn.us

• Jean Meyer, District Transit Project Manager

PH: (507) 286-7596

E-mail: jean.meyer@state.mn.us

Department of Transportation State Contacts

Wisconsin

Wisconsin Department of Transportation Office of the Secretary 4822 Madison Yards Way P.O. Box 7910 Madison, WI 53707-7910

• Alex Gramovot, Section Chief, Planning

PH: (608) 266-9495

E-mail: alexander.gramovot@dot.wi.gov

• Jim Kuehn, Statewide MPO-RPC Coordinator

PH: (608) 266-3662

E-mail: james.juehn@dot.wi.gov

• Jill Mrotek Glezinski, Bicycle & Pedestrian Coordinator

PH: (608) 267-7757

E-mail: jill.mrotekglezinski@dot.wi.gov

Minnesota

Transportation Building, Mail Stop 440 395 John Ireland Blvd.

St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Philip Schaffner, Transportation Planning Director, MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management

PH: (651) 366-3743

E-mail: philip.schaffner@state.mn.us

 Anna Pierce, Metropolitan Planning Program Coordinator, MnDOT Office of Transportation System Management

PH: (651) 366-3793

E-mail: anna.m.pierce@state.mn.us

Megan Neeck, Public Transit Program Coordinator, MnDOT Office of Transit

PH: (651) 366-4174

Email: megan.neeck@state.mn.us

Voni Vegar, Large Urban (Section 5307) Program Coordinator, MnDOT Office of Transit

PH: (218) 725-2841

Email: voni.vegar@state.mn.us

Federal Contacts

Federal Transit Administration (FTA)

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration 400 Seventh Street SW Washington, D.C. 20590 Federal Transit Administration, Region 5 200 W. Adams Street Chicago, IL 60606

Kelley Brookins, Regional Administrator

PH: (312) 353-2789 Fax: (312) 886-0351 • Evan Gross, Transportation Program Specialist

PH: (312) 886-1619

E-mail: evan.gross@dot.gov

• Bill Wheeler, Area Representative

PH: (312) 353-2789 Fax: (312) 886-0351

E-mail: william.wheeler@dot.gov

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)

Wisconsin Federal Highway Administration

City Center West 525 Junction Rd, Ste 8000 Madison, WI 53717

Fax: (608) 829-7526

• Karl Buck, Community Transportation Planner

PH: (608) 829-7521

E-mail: karl.e.buck@dot.gov

Minnesota Federal Highway Administration

180 E 5th St, Suite 930

St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

• Roberta Retzlaff, Community Planner

PH: (651) 291-6125

E-mail: roberta.retzlaff@dot.gov

STP-Urban Program Prioritization Criteria, Evaluation Matrix, Project Selection Policies

STP-U Program Project Prioritization Criteria

Approved January 13, 2021

The STP-U ranking criteria have been updated to 1) reduce the number of criteria from 17 to 9 and 2) reward projects that would help the LAPC support State and local targets for federal performance measures (a description of the federal measures and state targets is included in the annual transportation improvement program).

- Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.
 - <u>Economic Development:</u> This criterion attempts to reflect the positive impact a transportation project may have on the economic vitality of the area. For example, would the project result in attracting more activity by providing better access or transportation options?
 - 6 Points: The project would have a high, positive impact on economic activity.
 - 4 Points: The project would have a moderate, positive impact on economic activity.
 - 2 Points: The project would have a low positive impact on economic activity.
 - O Points: The project would have no positive impact on economic activity.
- Increase the safety and security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users.
 - Safety & Security: How much of a positive impact would the project have on the safety and/or security of the users of the transportation system? Project examples include reducing conflicts, reducing speed, installing pedestrian-scale lighting, surveillance cameras, or IT infrastructure for emergency response, etc. If a roadway project addresses an area that has experienced a high number of or a high crash rate for fatalities and/or serious injuries or a transit project addresses safety events or system reliability (breakdowns), the project will be awarded an additional 2 points. [Safety Performance Measures (PM 1)]
 - 6 Points: The project would have a high positive impact on safety and/or security.
 - 4 Points: The project would have a moderate positive impact on safety and/or security.
 - 2 Points: The project would have a low positive impact on safety and/or security.
 - O Points: The project would have no impact on safety and/or security.
- Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight.

- Mobility and accessibility options: This criterion rewards projects that improve the mobility and accessibility of users within the transportation system through improved connections and design. Projects that fill critical gaps in the system, remove barriers (i.e. bus stop pads, pedestrian crossings), or improve the geometrics of intersections to accommodate vehicle turning movements would receive points under this criterion.
 - 6 Points: The project would complete critical connections, remove barriers, provide intersection improvements, or enhance the grid system.
 - 4 Points: The project would moderately improve the mobility and accessibility of system users. These projects would include infrastructure improvements to intersections to accommodate users.
 - 2 Points: The project would only slightly improve the mobility and accessibility of system users.
 - O Points: The project would have no positive impact on improving mobility and accessibility.
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.
 - Community and environmental impacts: This criterion rewards projects that enhance or mitigate negative impacts on neighborhoods, communities, and/or natural environments. An additional two points will be awarded to projects that improve/enhance low-income neighborhoods, communities of color, or communities of limited-English proficiency.
 - 6 Points: The project would enhance neighborhoods, community livability, or the natural environment to a high degree.
 - 4 Points: The project would enhance neighborhoods, community livability, or the natural environment to a moderate degree.
 - 2 Points: The project would enhance neighborhoods, community livability, or the natural environment to a low degree.
 - O Points: The project would have no positive impact on neighborhoods, community livability, or the natural environment.
- Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight.
 - Intermodal/multimodal connectivity: This criterion credits projects that provide for an interconnection with (transfer points) other modes and/or accommodation for more than one mode. For example, a freight project that provides connections to truck, rail, and barge or a roadway project that includes bike lanes and sidewalks would be awarded 6 points for accommodating three modes.

- 6 points: The project provides a transfer point(s) or accommodation for three or more modes.
- 4 Points: The project provides a transfer point(s) or accommodation for two modes.
- 2 Points: The project provides a transfer point or accommodation for only one mode.
- O Points: The project does not accommodate transfers.
- Promote efficient system management and operation.
 - System management and operation: This criterion rewards projects that improve the operations and efficiency of transportation systems. Examples include the use of intelligent technologies, upgrading traffic control systems, incorporating signal preemption for transit and emergency vehicles, establishing high-occupancy vehicle lanes or bus rapid transit lanes, constructing grade-separated bicycle and pedestrian crossings. A project that would improve the travel time reliability on the non-Interstate National Highway System would receive an additional two points. [System Performance Measures (PM3)]
 - 6 Points: The project would have a high impact on improving traffic flow and reducing travel delay.
 - 4 Points: The project would have a moderate impact on improving traffic flow and reducing travel delay.
 - 2 points: The project would have a low impact on improving traffic flow and reducing travel delay.
 - O Points: The project would not improve traffic flow or reduce travel delay.
- Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.
 - System and corridor preservation: This criterion rewards projects that preserve the existing transportation infrastructure or transportation corridors or other land parcels for future transportation use. Projects that seek to preserve transportation corridors generally include right-of-way acquisition for scenic byways, transit centers, park-and-rides, and rails-to-trails for transportation purposes. Projects that support state or local targets for federal performance measures will receive an additional 2 points.
 [Infrastructure Performance Measures (PM2)]
 - 6 Points: The project involves pavement replacement or pavement marking only, includes transit vehicle replacement, or seeks to preserve or protect land along an endangered transportation corridor.
 - 4 Points: The project may include some new construction within the existing right-ofway to improve utilities or flood control or seeks to preserve or protect land along a regionally significant corridor or NHS route.

- 2 Points: The project may include some new construction outside the existing right-ofway to provide connections and continuity or seeks to preserve or protect land along a minor arterial or collector.
- O Points: The project does not seek to preserve or protect existing facilities or corridors.
- Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation.
 - Resiliency and reliability: This criterion would award projects that would design facilities to better withstand extreme weather events and would include stormwater mitigation components like water retention areas, swales, pervious pavements, etc. Projects to purchase alternative fuel vehicles and associated equipment would be awarded as a measure to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and the region's impact on climate change.
 - 6 Points: The project would have a high impact on resiliency and reliability.
 - 4 Points: The project would have a moderate impact on resiliency and reliability.
 - 2 Points: The project would have a low impact on resiliency and reliability.
 - 0 Points: The project would have no impact on resiliency and reliability.
- > Enhance travel and tourism.
 - Travel and tourism: Projects that improve travel experience and options for visitors to the region will be awarded points under this criterion. Projects might include the development of new facilities or improvements to existing facilities that connect hotels and business districts to natural and recreational destinations. Pavement quality, bicycle and pedestrian friendliness, and access to travel options are qualities of the built environment that can impact traveler experience and encourage visitors to travel around and explore the area.
 - 6 Points: The project would have a high positive impact on travel and tourism.
 - 4 Points: The project would have a moderate positive impact on travel and tourism.
 - 2 Points: The project would have a low positive impact on travel and tourism.
 - O Points: The project would have no positive impact on travel and tourism.

STP-U Project Evaluation Matrix

	Project									
Prioritization Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Economic Development										
Safety & Security										
Mobility & Accessibility										
Community and environmental impacts										
Intermodal/multimodal connectivity										
System management and operation										
System and corridor preservation										
Resiliency and reliability										
Travel and tourism										
Total Points Awarded										

STP-U Project Selection Policies

At the September 1, 2010 meeting, the LAPC Policy Board voted to adopt the following policies to ensure equitable allocation of STP-U funds, especially when a municipality requests moving funds from one project to another:

- 1. The goal is to fund STP-U projects at 80% federal and 20% local.
- 2. STP-U funds will be approved for construction only (will not be approved for funding real estate, utilities, or design).
- 3. Selection criteria will be included that awards additional points for having project design and right of way acquisition completed.
- 4. A municipality has the option of transferring STP-U funds from one STP-U-approved project to another STP-U-approved project with the following criteria:
 - a. The municipality must drop one project completely when moving funding to another approved STP-U project.
 - b. Any re-allocation of funds from one approved STP-U project to another will require action by the TAC and LAPC.
 - c. Project sponsors are limited to two substitutions per project.
- 5. If an STP-U-funded project fails to meet, or is not anticipated to meet, the schedule assigned by the municipality and/or WisDOT, and the municipality has not transferred the funds according to the policy (4.) above, the TAC may re-allocate the funding in the following order:

- a. Allocate the funding to one or more other STP-U projects that are not funded up to 80% federal.
- b. Allocate the funding to a new STP-U-eligible project in accordance with the LAPC project selection criteria. The new project (or project phase) must meet the STP-U cycle timeline and be funded with at least 50% federal dollars.
- 6. If the amount of STP-U funding available is greater than two years of the normal annual allocation, the funds in excess of the two years of funding will be prioritized to transit projects.
- 7. Special consideration will be given to projects in municipalities that have not received an STP-U grant in recent cycles.
- 8. Every project recommended for STP-U funding will be required to include appropriate multi-modal aspects.